

A G E N D A

Health Scrutiny Committee

Date: **Friday, 5th December, 2008**

Time: **10.00 a.m.**

Place: **The Council Chamber, Brockington, 35
Hafod Road, Hereford**

Notes: Please note the **time, date** and **venue** of the meeting.

For any further information please contact:

*Tim Brown, Committee Manager Scrutiny, Tel
01432 260239*

E-mail: tbrown@herefordshire.gov.uk

Herefordshire Council

AGENDA

for the Meeting of the Health Scrutiny Committee

To: Councillor JK Swinburne (Chairman)
Councillor AT Oliver (Vice-Chairman)

Councillors WU Attfield, PGH Cutter, MJ Fishley, P Jones CBE, G Lucas, GA Powell, A Seldon, AP Taylor and PJ Watts

Pages

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

To receive apologies for absence.

2. NAMED SUBSTITUTES (IF ANY)

To receive details of any Member nominated to attend the meeting in place of a Member of the Committee.

3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

To receive any declarations of interest by Members in respect of items on the Agenda.

GUIDANCE ON DECLARING PERSONAL AND PREJUDICIAL INTERESTS AT MEETINGS

The Council's Members' Code of Conduct requires Councillors to declare against an Agenda item(s) the nature of an interest and whether the interest is personal or prejudicial. Councillors have to decide first whether or not they have a personal interest in the matter under discussion. They will then have to decide whether that personal interest is also prejudicial.

A personal interest is an interest that affects the Councillor more than most other people in the area. People in the area include those who live, work or have property in the area of the Council. Councillors will also have a personal interest if their partner, relative or a close friend, or an organisation that they or the member works for, is affected more than other people in the area. If they do have a personal interest, they must declare it but can stay and take part and vote in the meeting.

Whether an interest is prejudicial is a matter of judgement for each Councillor. What Councillors have to do is ask themselves whether a member of the public – if he or she knew all the facts – would think that the Councillor's interest was so important that their decision would be affected by it. If a Councillor has a prejudicial interest then they must declare what that interest is and leave the meeting room.

4. MINUTES	1 - 8
To approve and sign the Minutes of the meeting held on 23 September 2008.	
5. SUGGESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ON ISSUES FOR FUTURE SCRUTINY	
To consider suggestions from members of the public on issues the Committee could scrutinise in the future.	
6. HEREFORD HOSPITALS NHS TRUST - UPDATE	9 - 14
To receive an update from the Trust.	
7. WEST MIDLANDS AMBULANCE SERVICE NHS TRUST	
To receive an update from the Trust.	
8. HEREFORDSHIRE PRIMARY CARE TRUST - UPDATE	
To receive an update from the Trust.	
9. PROVIDER SERVICES REVIEW	15 - 78
To update the Committee on the latest progress with the Herefordshire Provider Services Review.	
10. ELDERLY FALLS	
To receive an update on work on elderly falls.	
11. WORK PROGRAMME	79 - 82
To consider the Committee's work programme.	

PUBLIC INFORMATION

HEREFORDSHIRE COUNCIL'S SCRUTINY COMMITTEES

The Council has established Scrutiny Committees for Adult Social Care and Strategic Housing, Childrens' Services, Community Services, Environment, and Health. A Strategic Monitoring Committee scrutinises corporate matters and co-ordinates the work of these Committees.

The purpose of the Committees is to ensure the accountability and transparency of the Council's decision making process.

The principal roles of Scrutiny Committees are to

- Help in developing Council policy
- Probe, investigate, test the options and ask the difficult questions before and after decisions are taken
- Look in more detail at areas of concern which may have been raised by the Cabinet itself, by other Councillors or by members of the public
- "call in" decisions - this is a statutory power which gives Scrutiny Committees the right to place a decision on hold pending further scrutiny.
- Review performance of the Council
- Conduct Best Value reviews
- Undertake external scrutiny work engaging partners and the public

Formal meetings of the Committees are held in public and information on your rights to attend meetings and access to information are set out overleaf

PUBLIC INFORMATION

Public Involvement at Scrutiny Committee Meetings

You can contact Councillors and Officers at any time about Scrutiny Committee matters and issues which you would like the Scrutiny Committees to investigate.

There are also two other ways in which you can directly contribute at Herefordshire Council's Scrutiny Committee meetings.

1. Identifying Areas for Scrutiny

At the meeting the Chairman will ask the members of the public present if they have any issues which they would like the Scrutiny Committee to investigate, however, there will be no discussion of the issue at the time when the matter is raised. Councillors will research the issue and consider whether it should form part of the Committee's work programme when compared with other competing priorities.

Please note that the Committees can only scrutinise items which fall within their specific remit (see below). If a matter is raised which falls within the remit of another Scrutiny Committee then it will be noted and passed on to the relevant Chairman for their consideration.

2. Questions from Members of the Public for Consideration at Scrutiny Committee Meetings and Participation at Meetings

You can submit a question for consideration at a Scrutiny Committee meeting so long as the question you are asking is directly related to an item listed on the agenda. If you have a question you would like to ask then please submit it **no later than two working days before the meeting** to the Committee Officer. This will help to ensure that an answer can be provided at the meeting. Contact details for the Committee Officer can be found on the front page of this agenda.

Generally, members of the public will also be able to contribute to the discussion at the meeting. This will be at the Chairman's discretion.

(Please note that the Scrutiny Committees are not able to discuss questions relating to personal or confidential issues.)

Remits of Herefordshire Council's Scrutiny Committees

Adult Social Care and Strategic Housing

*Statutory functions for adult social services including:
Learning Disabilities
Strategic Housing
Supporting People
Public Health*

Children's Services

Provision of services relating to the well-being of children including education, health and social care.

Community Services Scrutiny Committee

*Libraries
Cultural Services including heritage and tourism
Leisure Services
Parks and Countryside
Community Safety
Economic Development
Youth Services*

Health

*Planning, provision and operation of health services affecting the area
Health Improvement
Services provided by the NHS*

Environment

*Environmental Issues
Highways and Transportation*

Strategic Monitoring Committee

*Corporate Strategy and Finance
Resources
Corporate and Customer Services
Human Resources*

The Public's Rights to Information and Attendance at Meetings

YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO: -

- Attend all Council, Cabinet, Committee and Sub-Committee meetings unless the business to be transacted would disclose 'confidential' or 'exempt' information.
- Inspect agenda and public reports at least five clear days before the date of the meeting.
- Inspect minutes of the Council and all Committees and Sub-Committees and written statements of decisions taken by the Cabinet or individual Cabinet Members for up to six years following a meeting.
- Inspect background papers used in the preparation of public reports for a period of up to four years from the date of the meeting. (A list of the background papers to a report is given at the end of each report). A background paper is a document on which the officer has relied in writing the report and which otherwise is not available to the public.
- Access to a public Register stating the names, addresses and wards of all Councillors with details of the membership of Cabinet and of all Committees and Sub-Committees.
- Have a reasonable number of copies of agenda and reports (relating to items to be considered in public) made available to the public attending meetings of the Council, Cabinet, Committees and Sub-Committees.
- Have access to a list specifying those powers on which the Council have delegated decision making to their officers identifying the officers concerned by title.
- Copy any of the documents mentioned above to which you have a right of access, subject to a reasonable charge (20p per sheet subject to a maximum of £5.00 per agenda plus a nominal fee of £1.50 for postage).
- Access to this summary of your rights as members of the public to attend meetings of the Council, Cabinet, Committees and Sub-Committees and to inspect and copy documents.

Please Note:

Agenda and individual reports can be made available in large print. Please contact the officer named on the front cover of this agenda **in advance** of the meeting who will be pleased to deal with your request.

The Council Chamber where the meeting will be held is accessible for visitors in wheelchairs, for whom toilets are also available.

A public telephone is available in the reception area.

Public Transport Links

- Public transport access can be gained to Brockington via the service runs approximately every half hour from the 'Hopper' bus station at the Tesco store in Bewell Street (next to the roundabout junction of Blueschool Street / Victoria Street / Edgar Street).
- The nearest bus stop to Brockington is located in Old Eign Hill near to its junction with Hafod Road. The return journey can be made from the same bus stop.

If you have any questions about this agenda, how the Council works or would like more information or wish to exercise your rights to access the information described above, you may do so either by telephoning the officer named on the front cover of this agenda or by visiting in person during office hours (8.45 a.m. - 5.00 p.m. Monday - Thursday and 8.45 a.m. - 4.45 p.m. Friday) at the Council Offices, Brockington, 35 Hafod Road, Hereford.



Where possible this agenda is printed on paper made from 100% Post-Consumer waste. De-inked without bleaching and free from optical brightening agents (OBA). Awarded the Nordic Swan for low emissions during production and the Blue Angel environmental label.

COUNTY OF HEREFORDSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

BROCKINGTON, 35 HAFOD ROAD, HEREFORD.

FIRE AND EMERGENCY EVACUATION PROCEDURE

In the event of a fire or emergency the alarm bell will ring continuously.

You should vacate the building in an orderly manner through the nearest available fire exit.

You should then proceed to Assembly Point J which is located at the southern entrance to the car park. A check will be undertaken to ensure that those recorded as present have vacated the building following which further instructions will be given.

Please do not allow any items of clothing, etc. to obstruct any of the exits.

Do not delay your vacation of the building by stopping or returning to collect coats or other personal belongings.

HEREFORDSHIRE COUNCIL

MINUTES of the meeting of Health Scrutiny Committee held at The Council Chamber, Brockington, 35 Hafod Road, Hereford on Tuesday, 23 September 2008 at 10.00 a.m.

Present: Councillor JK Swinburne (Chairman)
Councillor AT Oliver (Vice-Chairman)

Councillors: WU Attfield, WLS Bowen, PGH Cutter, P Jones CBE,
G Lucas, A Seldon, AP Taylor and PJ Watts

In attendance: Councillors LO Barnett (Cabinet Member – Social Care Adults)

15. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies were received from Councillors MJ Fishley and GA Powell.

16. NAMED SUBSTITUTES

Councillor WLS Bowen substituted for Councillor GA Powell.

17. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

18. MINUTES

It was pointed out that the last line of page 4 of the Minutes was incorrect and should read: "staff required to man a car 24 hours a day 7 days a week". Members requested that it be recorded that they otherwise welcomed the Minutes as a clear, good record of the meeting.

RESOLVED: That the Minutes of the meeting held on 18 June 2008 be confirmed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman, subject to the correction of the final line of page 4 of the Minutes.

19. SUGGESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ON ISSUES FOR FUTURE SCRUTINY

The Chairman reported that she had received a number of requests from the public that ambulance response times should be scrutinised and proposed to address this during discussion of the response times under agenda item 8: West Midlands Ambulance service NHS Trust – Response Times.

20. HEREFORDSHIRE PRIMARY CARE TRUST - UPDATE

The Committee received a presentation on behalf of the Primary Care Trust (PCT).

Mr Chris Bull, Chief Executive of Herefordshire Council and Herefordshire Primary Care Trust introduced the report, commenting on the commissioning agenda and the provider services review.

Dr Ian Williams, Director of Integrated Commissioning, elaborated on progress with the provider services review, emphasising the consensus on the need to integrate health and social care services and to develop clinical networks. He said that the review report from the Health Services Management Centre, Birmingham was due on 31 October 2008.

He then provided an update on the requirements of the national world class commissioning programme with its clear focus on delivering improved health outcomes. There was a national assurance process to review PCTs' progress towards world class performance and achievement of better health outcomes and provide a common basis for agreeing further development. The PCT had to make a submission to the Strategic Health Authority by October, with the results being known by March 2009.

He further reported on progress made by the Council and the PCT in making appointments to the Joint Management Team, bringing together management processes across the two organisations, and the Herefordshire Public Services review of governance arrangements.

He updated the Committee on the provision of radiotherapy services reporting that Worcestershire County Council's Health Scrutiny Committee had confirmed that they would not require a public consultation on the Three Counties Cancer Network's (3CCN) recommendation to site one Linear Accelerator at both Worcester and Hereford. Work was now underway to plan the development of the service in Herefordshire.

He also reported on success in reducing waiting times in pursuit of achieving an 18 week referral to treatment time; continuing focus on dealing with healthcare acquired infections; intermediate care; sexual health; that there were still GP practices where extended hours had not been provided; and progress in relation to the letting of a contract for the GP out of hours service and the GP led Health Centre.

In relation to the GP led Health Centre he said that the contract being let would provide for the delivery of essential primary care services and for the delivery of additional and enhanced services as defined in the national GP contract. On clinical governance grounds it had not been feasible to restrict the Centre to only providing "essential" services.

Dr Akeem Ali, Director of Public Health, gave a presentation on Health in Herefordshire. He reminded the Committee that health in Herefordshire was good with low childhood and infant mortality rates and life expectancy already close to 2010 national targets. However, there were challenges, including an unequal experience of poor health and early deaths, poor oral health compared to the regional average and avoidable differentials in the County in the following: admissions for coronary heart disease, respiratory illnesses, psychiatric admissions, children admissions, smoking quit rates and admission rates for alcohol related matters.

An update report had also been included with the agenda papers.

In the course of discussion the following principal points were made:

- Members questioned the range of services to be provided at the GP led health centre. The Director of Integrated Commissioning clarified that in relation to enhanced services there were directed services that had to be provided to every patient, national services which did not have to be provided for every patient but for which there were national specifications and local services where the PCT

had discretion as to what was provided.

- That when the proposal for the walk-in GP Health Centre development had been presented to the Committee in June there had been a clearly understood objective of not undermining the County's good base of primary care. It had been envisaged that the Centre would provide essential services but not enhanced services that might take patients away from existing providers.

The Director of Integrated Commissioning said that it was not proposed to commission services at the Centre that were superior to those already provided by GP practices. The Chief Executive reinforced the point that the new service would provide the same service as current GP practices under their contracts. He added that negotiations would need to take place with whoever provided the service at the Centre and with other GPs.

- The Director acknowledged that some GPs were concerned they might lose patients. In the circumstances there was a degree to which the PCT's interests as commissioners in securing high quality services might not be wholly aligned with the interests of the GPs as independent contractors. However, the quality of primary care service in the City and the County was high and he considered that the risk of patients leaving existing providers was low. He reminded the Committee of the potential which existed in the innovative approach to combining provision of the out of hours service with the Centre development and the scope to reduce pressure on the hospital's Accident and Emergency Unit.
- The Director said further information could be provided to the Committee on the enhanced services it was proposed to provide under the contract for the Centre if required. The Chief Executive emphasised that existing primary care services were of a high quality and it was a case of seeking to add to that high standard of care.
- A question was asked about progress in developing the ICT linkages between health and social care. In reply it was stated that whilst work was continuing under the Herefordshire Connects programme, this was a national issue with national developments in NHS ICT and there was no easy local solution. It was proposed that a report on the issue should be added to the work programme.
- The potential to improve public health by exercising a community leadership role was noted.
- The position on the number of dentists in the county and oral health including fluoridation was discussed. It was proposed that a report on oral health should be added to the work programme.
- A question was asked about MRSA. In reply it was said that the number of cases locally had been reduced. The need for preventative action and the maintenance of monitoring systems was emphasised. The Chief Executive of the Hospitals Trust reported that three quarters of patients were currently screened. From April 2009 all patients would be screened.

The Chief Executive confirmed that Members were welcome to visit health properties in their wards to enhance their understanding of them provided the visits were arranged in advance.

RESOLVED:

- That (a) the Committee welcomes the decision by 3CCN to locate a LiNAC machine and satellite radiotherapy services at Hereford Hospital and that given the decision to form 2 satellite services with Herefordshire and Worcestershire concurrently that it will not be necessary to undertake a broader public consultation on the decision;
- (b) the GP Out of Hours and GP walk in Health Service should include the basic levels of GP service as it would reasonably be expected by a patient to include such as family planning, vaccinations and the like but should not offer services over and above those existing GP practice groups in Hereford City without further consultation with the Committee;
- (c) a report on ICT linkages between health and social care be added to the work programme; and
- (d) a report on oral health be added to the work programme.

21. HEREFORD HOSPITALS NHS TRUST - UPDATE

The Committee received an update on the operational and financial performance of the Trust up to September 2008 together with a summary briefing on key developmental issues for the organisation.

Mr Martin Woodford, Chief Executive of the Trust, commenting briefly on performance and developments as set out in the report. He highlighted that the Trust was under pressure with a significant increase in referrals.

The Chairman thanked Mr Woodford for his concise and helpful report.

In the course of discussion the following principal points were made:

- Asked about the pressure the hospital was under Mr Woodford replied that the hospital was 98% full and discussions were taking place with clinicians about how to deal with this capacity issue. The view was that more could be done to manage the number of admissions.
- One of the cost containment measures identified in the report was closer scrutiny of non-pay orders. In response to a question seeking clarification Mr Woodford confirmed that there were measures currently in-place. It was proposed to strengthen those measures.
- In reply to a question about discharge of patients and links with the social care services Mr Woodford said that there were no particular difficulties at the moment.

The Director of Provider Services said that there was some pressure in discharging patients from Community Hospitals. Two new social worker posts would help to improve matters. The emphasis had to be on safe discharges.

- The expenditure on refurbishing the hutted wards, rather than replacing them at once, was questioned. Mr Woodford said that the Trust Board had agreed to their replacement. The intention was to do this within 3 years, the timing being dependent on the availability of capital finance. In the meantime the Healthcare Commission had said that the huts were not up to the required standard and they had therefore had to be refurbished.
- Regarding Foundation Trust status Mr Woodford said that he had agreed with the Primary Care Trust that no application would be submitted pending the outcome of the review of provider services. However, preparatory work was being carried out in line with the Government's policy that all Hospital Trusts should achieve Foundation Trust Status by 2010.
- It was asked how many readmissions there were. Mr Woodford said he could supply the detail if required. However, he knew the figure was low. The figures were monitored by the Board and there were clinical governance arrangements in place to ensure standards were maintained.
- Reassurance was requested that patients were not under pressure to be discharged. Mr Woodford confirmed that discharge dates were planned with the patients to provide clarity to the process.

The Chairman thanked Mr Woodford for his attendance.

22. WEST MIDLANDS AMBULANCE SERVICE NHS TRUST - RESPONSE TIMES

The Committee considered the Service's performance in meeting targets for response times.

Mr Derek Laird, Locality Director, presented the report. He said that performance in responding to Category A calls in September so far, at 81.3%, was the best Herefordshire had ever had. In response to the new standardised performance reporting system introduced with effect from April 2008 Herefordshire Primary Care Trust had provided additional funding that had allowed additional resources to be deployed in Herefordshire. He described a number of service enhancements. He added that Members were welcome to make appointments to visit local ambulance stations or visit the control centre at Bransford.

In the course of discussion the following principal points were made:

- It was noted that when a call was received allowing for, on average, 90 seconds to process the call this left only 6 and a half minutes to meet the response target. Mr Laird acknowledged that this was challenging.
- Asked about the deployment of Community First Responders (CFR), Mr Laird stated that CFRs were never deployed without having sent a vehicle. The CFR scheme was successful but was not a replacement for the service.
- A question was asked about an incident in Ledbury where someone had needed assistance 150 yards from the ambulance station but no one had been available. It was asserted that the first detail for the Ledbury ambulance each morning was to be deployed to Malvern, It was asked how much time the resource based at Ledbury was out of the County.

Mr Laird replied that 1 car and 1 ambulance were based at Ledbury and available between 9.00 am and 9.00 pm. Of 22 Category A calls in Ledbury in August the response target had been missed on 6 occasions, all of them out of hours. Twenty-four hour cover in all the Market Towns would be ideal but there was a clear resource implication for the Commissioners.

Five emergency vehicles were deployed out of hours. These were based at Hereford and Leominster. Ledbury received 8% of calls and it was therefore hard to justify deploying this resource there.

- It was noted that the Committee had previously formally requested that CFR Schemes be funded. Mr Laird said that he agreed with this view. He was urged to pursue the matter.
- Asked about deployment of the air ambulance Mr Laird advised that Herefordshire was the largest user of the air ambulance. The main costs were tied up in the lease and staff, so cost was no disincentive to its use. The ambulance was deployed whenever it was thought it might be needed.
- Mr Laird noted that there would always be times when response times would be missed, for example Friday and Saturday nights when there were a number of drink related incidents that had to be dealt with.
- It was asked what the process was for addressing underperformance citing response times for category A calls in the HR8 Ledbury postcode in May–July 2008 of 50%, 57% and 57% respectively. Mr Laird replied that the formal position was that the Trust was only obliged to hit the target for the Region as a whole. However, his aim was to hit the response target across the Counties within the locality for which he was responsible (Herefordshire, Shropshire and Worcestershire.) A review of the data was held every six months to see if moving resources or changing the hours of provision would improve performance or clinical care. It remained the case, however, that there were financial constraints.
- It was remarked that there was a need to view the system as a whole taking account, for example, of turnaround times at the hospital.
- It was proposed that there should be a formal review of the ambulance service with particular reference to the Market Towns of Ledbury and Ross-on-Wye. This would need to look beyond the response times themselves to the outcomes achieved and experiences on the ground of residents.

RESOLVED:

- That (a) a formal review be undertaken of the Service provided by the West Midlands Ambulance Service (WMAS) NHS Trust in Herefordshire with particular reference to the Market Towns of Ledbury (postcode HR8) and Ross- on-Wye (postcode (HR9), with the added intention of ensuring that there is no drift of resource from Herefordshire to other parts of the West Midlands Region following the creation of the regional WMAS NHS Trust;**
- (b) the efforts made to improve response times within Herefordshire be noted; and**

- (c) that the projected improvement in response times for September bringing them into line with Shropshire and Worcestershire be welcomed.

23. NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE (NICE) - PRESENTATION

The Committee received a presentation from Mr Chris Connell, Implementation Consultant, on the work of the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) and how this could be of help to scrutiny.

He noted NICE's responsibility for providing national guidance on the promotion of good health and the prevention and treatment of ill-health and described the organisation and its role in issuing guidance on interventional procedures, technology appraisals, assessments of cost effectiveness, appraisal guidance, clinical guidance and public health guidance.

He noted the comprehensive evidence base and expert input that informed NICE guidance and work in association with the Centre for Public Scrutiny on a series of guides designed to help Health Scrutiny Committees.

The Committee discussed aspects of NICE's work including the process for approving drugs for use. It was noted that if NICE considered that a drug was not cost-effective this did not preclude a Primary Care Trust from using the drug. However, the Trust would face questions over the evidence it had taken into account and have to justify the expenditure against the many competing priorities.

24. LOCAL INVOLVEMENT NETWORK

The Committee considered a progress report on the development of Herefordshire Local Involvement Network (LINK).

Mr Mike Vials, Herefordshire Team Leader for the LINK, presented the report.

The Committee noted the progress to date. The Chairman said that the Committee looked forward to working closely with the LINK.

25. JOINT COMMISSIONING PLAN FOR PEOPLE WITH MENTAL HEALTH PROBLEMS 2007-11

The Committee did not consider this item. It was proposed that a revised report would be made to the Committee.

26. JOINT COMMISSIONING PLAN FOR HEALTH AND SOCIAL CARE SERVICES 2008 – 2012 FOR ADULTS WITH PHYSICAL DISABILITIES AGED 18 – 64 YRS

The Committee did not consider this item. It was proposed that a revised report would be made to the Committee.

It was noted that there was the potential for some overlap between the reports called for by the Adult Social Care and Strategic Housing Scrutiny Committee and the Health Scrutiny Committee.

The Chief Executive suggested that the respective Chairmen should discuss the matter with the Chairman of the Strategic Monitoring Committee.

27. WORK PROGRAMME

The Committee considered its work programme.

The following additions to the programme were proposed:

- ICT – linkages between Health and Social Care
- Oral Health/Fluoridation
- Ambulance Service Review

RESOLVED: That the work programme as amended be approved and reported to the Strategic Monitoring Committee.

The meeting ended at 1.20 p.m.

CHAIRMAN

HEREFORD HOSPITALS NHS TRUST - UPDATE

Report By: Chief Executive of the Trust.

Wards Affected

County-wide

Purpose

1. To receive an update from the Trust.

Background

2. A report is attached.

BACKGROUND PAPERS

- None

Further information on the subject of this report is available from
Martin Woodford, Chief Executive on 01432 364000

**HEALTH SCRUTINY COMMITTEE MEETING
5th DECEMBER 2008**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S UPDATE REPORT
DECEMBER 2008
HEREFORD HOSPITALS NHS TRUST**

1) Introduction

This report provides committee members with an update on the operational and financial performance of the Trust to the end of October 2008 together with a summary briefing on key developmental issues for the organisation.

2) Operational Performance

2.1 Patients treated

The current upward trend in referrals from GPs for non-urgent treatment continues (the October referral total of 3788 was the highest month on record). In response the Trust has increased activity levels to the end of October as follows:-

- Daycases: +17.5% on same period in 07/08
- Elective inpatients: +8.6% on same period in 07/08
- New outpatients: +8.9% on same period in 07/08

These increases have been achieved in a context where emergency activity has also upturned and now stands only 1% below the corresponding period in 2007/08.

2.2 Accident & Emergency (4 hour waits)

The national target is that 98% of patients should be seen within 4 hours in A&E. Performance continues to be strong with 98.4% recorded for the year to the end of October and 62% of patients seen within 2 hours.

2.3 18 week access target

The national target is that by December 2008, 90% of admitted and 95% of non admitted patients should be treated within 18 weeks for referral from their GP.

The Trust continues to make strong progress achieving 95% and 99% for admitted and non admitted patients respectively at the end of October.

As a consequence the Trust has moved from 18th to 8th (of 19) in the league table of West Midlands acute trusts since April.

2.4 Healthcare Associated Infections (HCAI's)

The Trust continues its zero tolerance approach to HCAI's, focussing in particular on:-

- Hand hygiene compliance (96% achieved for October audit)
- MRSA screening for admissions from A&E (84% achieved in October)
- Appropriate antibiotic prescribing
- General compliance with the Hygiene Code

It is pleasing to report that there have been no reported MRSA bacteraemia cases since July 2008 with only 6 in total for the year to date (only one post 48 hours i.e. hospital generated). The number of Clostridium Difficile cases has also fallen with 40 cases (over 48 hours) to the end of October against an SHA set trajectory of 51.

2.5 Other Clinical Indicators

The Trust Board is now focusing on a range of other clinical indicators, a selection of which is summarised below:-

- Readmission rates for the 5 months to the end of August were 4.7% compared to 4.9% for 2007/08 and a peer group average of 6.6%.
- The day-case rate for surgery stands at 73.1% to the end of August compared with 72.5% last year and a peer group average of 73%.
- On a less favourable note, the complication rate has risen to 2.1% from 1.8% last year, above the peer group average of 1.7%. This is being investigated.
- The Trust's mortality rate (as measured by a risk adjusted index) has fallen from 83 in April 2007 to 71 in September 2008.

2.6 Patient Satisfaction

The Trust is now conducting local patient surveys to supplement data available from the annual national exercise. Analysis of the feedback from the 64 patients questioned so far suggests that the following are the key areas for action:-

- Quality of hospital food (40% rating as fair or poor)
- Disturbance at night (48% of patients reported this)
- Awareness of planned discharge date (47% of patients not aware)

Action plans are being developed to act upon the findings.

2.7 Finance

Although the Trust was in surplus by £280k at the end of October, this was still £937k below the plan at this point in the year. The measures introduced in September are being maintained to correct this, namely:-

- Continued generation of additional income from treating more patients in the latter part of the year.
- Application of cost containment measures for non medical spending (vacancy and order controls).
- Implementation of cost improvement programmes

3) Service and Site Development

3.1 Clinical Decisions Unit / Primary Care Centre

The PCT has been conducting a tendering process for the provision of an urgent primary care centre linked to the GP out of hours service with a 31st October deadline for submissions. The Trust has submitted a joint bid with local GP's and Shropdoc (an out of hours consortium), not only to provide this service on the hospital site but with a linked proposal for the creation of a Clinical Decisions Unit (CDU) adjacent to A&E. The CDU, which would contain a limited number of beds and have senior level medical staffing, would improve the assessment of patients on admission and from the evidence elsewhere, prevent needless admissions. Presentations on the Trust's bid were made to the PCT on 17th November and the outcome is being awaited.

3.2 Radiotherapy Services

The Three Counties Cancer Network has now established a Project Board to take forward the development of satellite radiotherapy in both Hereford and Worcester, working to a "go live" timescale of 2012. The Trust's Director of Business Development, Mike Coupe, will be leading the work locally and is tasked with producing an option appraisal on the most cost effective financing options by 31st March 2009.

3.3 Bowel Cancer Screening

The Trust is aiming to establish bowel cancer screening at the County Hospital, linked to the current endoscopy service. Following an assessment and accreditation visit on 25th September, it is now clear what pre-conditions will need to be met for the service to be provided, the most significant being guaranteed access to recovery beds in the Daycase Unit. The Trust has until early January 2009 to demonstrate that it has plans in place to meet the approval criteria.

Martin Woodford
Chief Executive
Hereford Hospitals NHS Trust

PROVIDER SERVICES REVIEW

Report By: Ian Williams, Director of Integrated Commissioning

Wards Affected

County-wide

Purpose

1. To update the Committee on the latest progress with the Herefordshire Provider Services Review.

Background

2. The Provider Services Review was initiated to:
 - propose models of care for health and social care services in Herefordshire that would deliver excellent outcomes and user/patient experience;
 - refine the proposed models and identify the workforce activity and financial consequences, to guide investments and service development;
 - propose an organisational configuration that sustainably supports the delivery of the proposed models of care.

Current position

3. The first stage of the work, the development of initial proposals for models of care, has now been completed. This work was supported by the Health Services Management Centre (HSMC), Birmingham, and their report is attached as Appendix 1.

Next Steps

4. The proposed next steps in the Review are set out in Appendix 2. Regular updates will be brought to the Health Scrutiny Committee.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the Committee notes the report and receives regular updates.

Appendices

- Appendix 1 – Health Services Management Centre (HSMC)
- Appendix 2 - Timeline for Provider Services Review

BACKGROUND PAPERS

- None

Developing a Strategic Service Model and Options for the Future Configuration of Provider Services for Herefordshire

A Report by the Health Services Management
Centre and Crystal Blue Consulting

Helen Parker (HSMC)
Margaret McArthur (HSMC)
Jon Glasby (HSMC)
Tessa Crilly (Crystal Blue Consulting)



Contents

	Page No
Executive Summary	i
1. Background and Context	1
1.1 National and local context	1
1.2 Scope of the review	3
2. Development of the Strategic Care Model	5
2.1 Darzi-based working groups	5
2.2 New ways of working across key delivery areas	7
3. Emerging Care Models	9
Maternity and newborn	9
Children and family services	9
Staying healthy and independent	10
Long term conditions	11
Planned care	12
Acute care	13
Mental health	15
End of life care	16
4. Understanding the geographical, service activity and financial context	19
4.1 Population	19
4.2 Geography and patient flows	20
4.3 Finance	24
4.4 Workforce	24
4.5 Services funded by Social Services	24
4.6 Hospital-Based Services	25
4.7 Primary Care	28
4.8 Clinical Critical Mass	28
4.9 Financial Critical Mass	29
4.10 Conclusion	29
5. Non-Financial Option Appraisal	30
5.1 Development of success criteria	30
5.2 Engagement with stakeholders to explore options	30
5.3 Children's and Mental Health Services	31
5.4 The "long list" of options	31
5.5 The option appraisal process	32
5.6 Outcome of appraisal	34
5.7 Additional issues	36
5.8 Organisational integration – lessons from the partnership literature	37
6. Conclusions and Recommendations	39
7. References	42
Appendix 1: review Steering Group membership	44
Appendix 2: Short report from a HHT and General Practice Workshop 21 st August 2008	45
Appendix 3: Provider options – scores from option appraisal exercise	50
Appendix 4: Working group templates	51

Any correspondence regarding this report should be directed to Helen Parker at the Health Services Management Centre. Email h.parker.1@bham.ac.uk

Executive Summary

1.0 Scope of the review

Herefordshire Primary Care Trust (PCT), Hereford Hospitals NHS Trust (HHT) and Herefordshire Council commissioned the Health Services Management Centre (HSMC) to work with them in a strategic review that will '*ensure that provider services are fit for purpose and organised in sustainable configurations which are able to both drive service improvement and deliver real efficiency*'.

Crystal Blue Consulting was commissioned separately to work alongside HSMC providing support for economic analysis.

The review took place between March and September 2008 and the principles underpinning the review were agreed between HHT, the PCT and the Council as

Delivering patient centred services
Support for a viable District General Hospital (DGH)
Delivery of clinically safe and quality services
Provision based upon integrated care pathways
Support for viable provider organisations

The review was cognisant of national and local policy and the strategic agenda to be addressed, specifically within the context of the NHS Next Stage Review. The review was to take into account services provided by:

- Herefordshire Primary Care Trust provider services
- General practice
- Hereford Hospitals NHS Trust (HHT)
- West Midlands Ambulance Trust
- Herefordshire Council Social Care Services
- Herefordshire voluntary sector providers

1.1 Process

The review had five distinct components, some of which took place concurrently:

- establishing review governance and project management arrangements
- the identification of those service areas for which to map models and pathways of care

- the development of a strategic model of care, including assessment of its current and future financial and clinical viability (via work to be undertaken by Crystal Blue Consulting)
- agreement of success criteria by which to measure the suitability and viability of potential provider configuration options
- the development of options and appraisal of these against the agreed criteria.

A Steering Group was established which included Chairs, senior executives from across HHT, the PCT, Herefordshire Council and General Practice. This met fortnightly during the review, acting both as a sounding board and as a decision-making forum. Two project managers were identified to lead arrangements across the organisations and to monitor progress. A wider Stakeholder Advisory Group was also established to support the option appraisal process.

2.0 Development of the Strategic Model of Care

Eight working groups based on the Darzi workstreams were established and asked to produce:

1. A description of the optimum future model of care for Herefordshire (using the National/West Midland Darzi vision as a starting point).
2. A summary of the evidence-base for the option.
3. A description of the difference between status-quo and optimum model in terms of how, where and by whom care is provided, and any impact on other services. Changes to be quantified as far as possible.
4. Barriers to implementation at the frontline and what needs to be done to overcome these.
5. Corporate and strategic requirements to deliver the new model of care

2.1 Progress

Working group progress to date has been mixed for a number of reasons. Membership of the working groups has not included all those required to make some of the decisions necessary, particularly clinicians. Additionally, key supporting services such as diagnostics and patient transport have been absent from discussions and therefore clarifying what is feasible to be delivered in alternative locations and community settings has not been possible. Social care managers and practitioners due to other constraints were unable to participate in most meetings so integrated social care planning and commissioning still needs to be worked in to the care models. The challenging timescale made it difficult for groups to meet regularly and with consistent membership. However, these issues are being addressed and the work is ongoing.

The review has demonstrated a real desire amongst the clinical and social care community to work together to achieve better outcomes for patients through improved co-ordination of care, greater integration and service efficiency. What has been achieved to date is a high level strategic direction summarised in Box 1.

Box 1: High level strategic model of care

- A move to a community-based model of care with in-reach to acute and centralised services where clinically appropriate and resource efficient. Each working group has identified areas of current care delivery that can either be expanded within the community or shifted from the hospital to a community setting. This includes some outpatient activity, diagnostics and services to promote health and wellbeing.
- Personalised, outcome-based care with high quality service delivery.
- Increasingly integrated health and social care services to support health, wellbeing and independence.
- Integrated care pathways that deliver care closer to home and make efficient use of acute services, maximising the expertise of generalists and specialists.
- Shift of care across the care continuum, repatriating tertiary and secondary care where appropriate, shifting care from hospital to community and supporting self care to reduce dependency on services.
- Developing community hospitals as a local resource for short term in patient care, active rehabilitation, outpatient clinics and fast access diagnostics.
- Local access to maternity, paediatric and accident and emergency services.
- Equitable access to services in each locality.

3.0 Understanding the geographical, service activity and financial context

Section 4 of the main report describes the baseline of Herefordshire's current funding and service provision across the PCT, social services and HHT, within the context of population and geography. The PCT spends £257 million on healthcare and a further £40 million is spent by the local authority on residential and domiciliary care. £34 million is spent on out of county acute care

Herefordshire's population of 180,000 is relatively old, with 20% aged 65+ compared to an England average of 16%. In the next five years there is projected net growth of 2%, but this is confined to the 65+ client group which will grow by 23%. Population density is low at 82 people per square kilometre compared to the England average of 380. HHT treats patients from Powys in the west, expanding the catchment population to up to 250,000.

Geographical context is important because, even though the population is smaller than the 450-500,000 required to sustain an acute general hospital according to the Royal Colleges, without an acute hospital located in the county many residents would need to travel over an hour to a neighbouring hospital in Worcester, Gloucester or Abergavenny.

The shape of Herefordshire's service is linked to its geographical structure: hospital utilisation is lower than the England average, emergency admissions from A&E are lower than both the England and the West Midlands average, (consistent with a relatively low acute bed base), while residential care funded by social services is higher than a benchmark sample of local authorities. Primary care has traditionally managed patients that elsewhere might be hospitalised, indicated by relatively low referral rates, e.g. in paediatrics.

The PCT spent £257 million in 2007/8:

- 49% (£126m) on acute care with 26% (£69m) at HHT;
- out of the other £57m acute, £23m represents specialist tertiary services, leaving a total of £34m out of area acute;
- secondary acute therefore totals £93m;
- primary care comprises 24% (£62m);
- community care + mental health + learning disabilities comprises 24% (£62m);
- out of area treatment is mainly specialist acute services but it also includes £8m of mental health services.

The local authority spent £40 million on residential, nursing home and domiciliary care:

- £25m (63%) on residential home placements
- £7m (17%) on nursing home placements
- £8m (20%) on home-based or domiciliary caseloads

The largest single client group, in terms of expenditure, is the elderly with £17m on care home placements for 974 people and £5m on domiciliary care, totalling £22m or 56% of resources. Learning disabilities comprises 27% of spend (£10m) with 167 people placed in care homes.

Much of the quantitative focus of this provider review has been on the viability of acute services, given the twin pressures of (i) specialisation into larger hospital centres and (ii) migration of services closer to home, taking activity out of hospital into the community and primary care. This work is embryonic given the strategic model of care is not yet completed but clinically, the evidence to date suggests that acute services are sustainable with investment

in medical staff, e.g. in obstetrics. Sustainability may therefore be traded for resource efficiency or value for money. Underlying pressures for change have been identified as largely financial, in terms of (a) funding flows which make it difficult to transfer resources out of hospital into the community and (b) longer term difficulties likely to be experienced by HHT in accessing capital needed for future investment in acute services.

4.0 Options Appraisal

Building on a July workshop with the Stakeholder Advisory Group HSMC developed a list of success criteria based on the key principles underpinning the review (see Table 12, main report). Drawing on the input of the working groups and on interviews with key stakeholders, HSMC developed the list of options for future organisational configurations excluding children and mental health services (see Box 1, main report). It was agreed that these options would have significant implications for mental health and for children's services – and it was decided that these were so important that they needed to be addressed separately (rather than running the risk of failing to do them justice as part of more general discussions). Although 'do nothing' was retained as a potential option, as is customary in option appraisals, this had already effectively been ruled out by the Steering Group based on a collective view that remaining with the current organisational configuration was not sustainable in the long-term. (Note: options including general practice refer to provider representation of GPs within the formal governance structure)

4.1 Option Appraisal Outcome

There was significant consensus for the preferred options and also options that were not generally supported. The top three preferences expressed by workshop participants (in order of preference) were for:

1. A new integrated hospital, community health and adult social care organisation ([Option 5](#)).
2. A new integrated hospital, community health, adult social care and general practice organisation ([Option 6](#)).
3. Integrating general practice, community health care and social care, whilst also pursuing option 2a/2b for hospital care ([Option 11](#)).

Of the preferred three options, there was strong consensus from all groups about the desirability of Option 5, but more mixed views across different groups about Option 6. Participants identified a number of key themes that had helped to guide their thinking:

- There was strong consensus that doing nothing was not a credible option, and clear recognition that existing organisational structures were not necessarily the best way of delivering better outcomes for patients and service users.

- Participants were clear that any future option had to involve social care (and therefore tended to reject options that focused solely on health care).
- Participants stressed the need for a whole systems approach (and therefore tended to mark down or reject any option that seemed to be focusing on one part of the system in isolation or failing to tackle perceived inter-organisational barriers in the current system).
- Debates about organisational structures should not prevent ongoing and detailed work with regards to new service models (which should be agreed and implemented irrespective of future decisions about current organisations).

5.0 Conclusion and Recommendations

When this review was first commissioned and the process to deliver it discussed with the Steering Group, the scale of the task ahead in terms of developing a well articulated and a reasonably quantified strategic model of care with associated care pathways may not have been fully appreciated by many. While it was suggested that there was already a degree of clarity of direction around each of the Darzi workstreams, in practice while some strong pockets of discreet activity were underway, much had still to be initiated.

The first challenge for the groups was to assess the applicability of the West Midlands Darzi models to Herefordshire, and to commence the task not only of formulating a local vision but of providing the detail - in terms of resource requirements and activity shifts - that would enable the new model to be costed. The SHA-driven timescale to complete the review in six months was extremely tight which brought its own challenges for involving health and social care professionals. It required work on the care models to be given the highest priority by all organisations in terms of time and senior leadership.

The review has not progressed to an agreed preferred organisational option within the given timescale. This is mainly due to it coinciding with work required to meet the World Class Commissioning agenda creating competing priorities for senior management time, the appointment of two key senior staff during the review timescale and recognition that there was catching up to do in establishing the required strategic planning structures and processes that can deliver work of this nature effectively.

5.1 Case for organisational change

The strategic care planning process has not been completed and therefore it would be premature at this stage to conclude a case for organisational change. From work achieved to date, there is nothing to suggest that the desired service outcomes expressed by the working groups could not be achieved through the development of integrated care pathways and clinically integrated systems. As stated above, we know that structural solutions rarely

deliver the outcomes intended and the cost and other effects of major structural change need to be weighed very carefully against the expected long term benefit to the Herefordshire population. There is no appetite within the clinical community for major organisational change without a clear rationale and so to gain their co-operation and involvement the case for change needs to be very clear.

However, it can be argued that Herefordshire has other drivers which suggest the development of a new integrated organisation is worth exploring in more depth once care models are agreed and the impact of those on each organisation is understood:

- the local geography which requires sustaining essential hospital services
- the long term financial viability of a separate hospital Trust for the size of Herefordshire
- the long term viability of the PCT provider services within the current policy context to separate commissioning and provision
- the policy requirement for all NHS Trusts to become Foundation Trusts and the challenges this creates for a small DGH
- the historical culture within Herefordshire, expressed by many, of organisations operating as silos in service development and planning
- the outcome of the initial option appraisal to pursue an integrated organisational model

These factors should be considered alongside the economic and activity modelling when the pathways are fully established. Whilst each by themselves may not constitute a robust case for change, viewed as a whole picture the case for change may be stronger. It is important to remember however the lessons learnt from other major reconfigurations. There may be a temptation to shortcut the process of developing agreed care pathways, clear commissioning plans and ensuring clinical ownership of change across the whole clinical community and rely on a structural solution. However without achieving this clarity and consensus and having a robust rationale for change it will be much more challenging to deliver the desired improvements in health and social care to the population.

5.2 Recommendations

Our work supporting the review leads us to the following recommendations for ensuring that an appropriate way forward is found that will best meet the health and social care needs of Herefordshire people:

- To further strengthen and practically support the working groups to produce the care models at a level of detail that can ensure understanding of the whole system impacts and be costed to inform a case for change
- To appoint a project manager with the appropriate skill set and authority to lead the ongoing work of the working groups
- To establish a clear approach to commissioning that takes account of both the working groups and the newly-established clinical forum, and sustains and builds on the wider stakeholder engagement achieved through this project. A well-defined strategic planning structure informing commissioning will underpin not only the conclusions of the provider review but also the joint working/strategy development that needs to gather momentum
- To ensure that appropriate attention is given to the complex areas of mental health and children's services (not least child and adolescent mental health which is at the intersection of these two fields but can fall between them). There are existing integration issues to pursue in both these areas and the relationship of these to the aspiration for greater integration for other services need to be fully understood. A high level of commissioning leadership and support is likely to be needed to balance these different agendas.
- To reflect as a Steering Group upon the potential for integration to support the strategic model, seeking clarity as to the areas of care where integration has most to offer to Herefordshire people, and taking into account the pitfalls highlighted in the literature as set out above.
- To ensure greater involvement of wider stakeholders including the voluntary sector within the service planning process.
- Once the implications of the strategic model of care are clear the short-listed options described above should be developed in greater detail so that they can be more comprehensively assessed and subjected to the types of test set out in section 5.7 above alongside an objective financial assessment.
- To explore the potential of an integrated urgent care model for Herefordshire as a Department of Health pilot site
- If a case for change for organisational reconfiguration is agreed then work needs to be undertaken to identify the most appropriate organisational and governance model.

1. Background and Context

Herefordshire Primary Care Trust (PCT), Hereford Hospitals NHS Trust (HHT) and Herefordshire Council commissioned the Health Services Management Centre (HSMC) to work with them in a strategic review that will *'ensure that provider services are fit for purpose and organised in sustainable configurations which are able to both drive service improvement and deliver real efficiency'*

This was recognition that the present configuration of provider services appeared unsustainable in the long term, financially or clinically (see below for further discussion). Additionally, current health policy for establishing Foundation Trusts (FT) and the need for explicit separation between PCT commissioning and provider functions had led HHT and the PCT separately to explore other potential provider models (social enterprise and FT status) and there was recognition that further strategic work needed to be undertaken towards a whole system health and social care vision for future service delivery.

Crystal Blue Consulting was commissioned separately to work alongside HSMC providing support for economic analysis.

The review took place between March and September 2008. The principles underpinning the review were agreed between HHT, the PCT and the Council as:

- Delivering patient centred services
- Support for a viable District General Hospital (DGH)
- Delivery of clinically safe and quality services
- Provision based upon integrated care pathways
- Support for viable provider organisations

The review was cognisant of national and local policy and the strategic agenda to be addressed.

1.1 National and Local Context


Local demographic context

The resident population of Herefordshire is estimated at nearly 180,000. The striking features of this population are:

- It is a relatively old population, with 20% aged 65+ compared to 16% in England;
- Moreover there is significant growth projected for the older population, posing increasing health care demands in the future supported by a smaller working age population. By 2013 a 26% rise is projected in the

number of people aged 85+, the group with the highest needs for health and social care;

- As the map below demonstrates it is a very rural county with few centres of population outside the city of Hereford. The density of population is low at 82 people per square kilometre. This is in contrast to a national average of 380 /k^m² and, at the extreme for England, Lambeth's density of 10,142 /km².



Source: ONS 2001 Census

These features, which are important factors in this review, are explored in more detail in section 4, alongside service and financial issues.

The political context

The review was cognisant of national and local policy and the strategic agenda to be addressed. Key factors included:

1. The regional work in relation to the Department of Health report '*Our NHS, Our Future*'³. Strategic Health Authority (SHA) working groups are developing pathways of care for the following eight areas: Maternity and Newborn, Children's Health, Staying Healthy, Long Term Conditions, Acute Care (urgent and emergency), Planned Care, Mental Health and End of Life Care.

2. *'Investing for Health'*⁴, the West Midlands five year strategic framework for improving health and health services.
3. The need to demonstrate stronger commissioning of PCT provider services as outlined in *Commissioning a Patient-led NHS*²
4. The development of Practice- Based Commissioning
5. The requirement set out by the SHA for Local Health Economy Overarching Plans to 2012/13 which set out the key expected elements of strategic service plans
6. The postponement of the Wave 9 Foundation Trust application by HHT until the review has been completed
7. The ongoing development of the Country's first Public Service Trust, integrating the commissioning of the PCT and LA commissioning functions.
8. The demographics of Herefordshire as described above.
9. The shared boundary with Wales and the need to agree commissioning models and activity levels between Herefordshire PCT and Powys Local Health Board.
10. The PCT provision of mental health services
11. The impact of the European Working Time Directive (EWTD) on the delivery of acute care
12. The variance in the geographical, HHT and GP registered populations.

1.2 Scope of the review

The scope of the review was to take into account services provided by:

- Herefordshire Primary Care Trust provider services
- General Practice
- Hereford Hospitals NHS Trust (HHT)
- West Midlands Ambulance Trust
- Herefordshire Council Social Care Services
- Herefordshire voluntary sector providers

Review components and governance arrangements

The review had five distinct components, some of which took place concurrently:

- establishing review governance and project management arrangements
- the identification of those service areas for which to map models and pathways of care
- the development of a strategic model of care, including assessment of its current and future financial and clinical viability (via work to be undertaken by Crystal Blue Consulting)
- agreement of success criteria by which to measure the suitability and viability of potential provider configuration options
- the development of options and appraisal of these against the agreed criteria.

A Steering Group was established which included Chairs, senior executives from across HHT, the PCT, Herefordshire Council and General Practice. This met fortnightly during the review, acting both as a sounding board and as a decision-making forum. Membership of the group is attached at Appendix 1. Two project managers were identified to lead arrangements across the organisations and to monitor progress. A wider Stakeholder Advisory Group was also established to support the option appraisal process.

This report presents the outcome of each of the other components, and makes recommendations for future action as appropriate.

2. Development of the Strategic Care Model

Developing a strategic care model that provides a robust narrative of future service delivery was agreed as a crucial pre-cursor to undertaking a Provider review. Whilst small pockets of work were being undertaken by various groups within individual organisations and between consultants and GPs in clinical areas such as COPD there was no existing whole system strategic planning structure on which to build. Therefore working groups were established based on the Darzi care groups to develop and agree care pathways across health and social care.

2.1 Darzi-based working groups

Each of the 8 working groups were asked to produce a report that included:

6. A description of the optimum future model of care for Herefordshire (using the National/West Midland Darzi vision as a starting point).
7. A summary of the evidence-base for the option.
8. A description of the difference between status-quo and optimum model in terms of how, where and by whom care is provided, and any impact on other services. Changes to be quantified as far as possible.
9. Barriers to implementation at the frontline and what needs to be done to overcome these.
10. Corporate and strategic requirements to deliver the new model of care

Each working group was assigned a Director-level lead to provide leadership and take responsibility for delivery. HSMC facilitated a workshop for each working group to enable it to establish a baseline of current service developments and identify what further work was necessary. The timescale for delivery was acknowledged as challenging with the added factor of summer holidays.

A further workshop was held in July for all the working groups to share their progress and allow other groups to comment and observe where there may be duplication or gaps in development.

Progress

Working group progress to date has been mixed for a number of reasons and a summary of the working group reports from the July workshop are in Section 3. Membership of the working groups has not included all those required to make some of the decisions necessary, particularly clinicians. Additionally, key supporting services such as diagnostics and patient transport have been absent from discussions and therefore clarifying what is feasible to be delivered in alternative locations and in community settings has not been possible. Social care managers and practitioners due to other constraints were unable to participate in most meetings so integrated social care planning

and commissioning still needs to be worked in to the care models. The challenging timescale made it difficult for groups to meet regularly and with consistent membership, and strong third sector and user and carer involvement is yet to be achieved.

These issues are now being addressed in each working group and the working groups have nevertheless made significant progress in reviewing existing care delivery and identifying where changes need to be made to meet population needs, clinical standards and policy imperatives. What has been achieved to date is a high level strategic direction. This can be summarised as:

- A move to a community-based model of care with in-reach to acute and centralised services where clinically appropriate and resource efficient. Each working group has identified areas of current care delivery that can either be expanded within the community or shifted from the hospital to a community setting. This includes some outpatient activity, diagnostics and services to promote health and wellbeing.
- Personalised, outcome-based care with high quality service delivery.
- Increasingly integrated health and social care services to support health, wellbeing and independence.
- Integrated care pathways that deliver care closer to home and make efficient use of acute services, maximising the expertise of generalists and specialists.
- Shift of care across the care continuum, repatriating tertiary and secondary care where appropriate, shifting care from hospital to community and supporting self care to reduce dependency on services.
- Developing community hospitals as a local resource for short term in patient care, active rehabilitation, outpatient clinics and fast access diagnostics.
- Local access to maternity, paediatric and accident and emergency services.
- Equitable access to services in each locality.

The commissioning team are now working alongside the work groups to ensure agreed developments feed in appropriately to commissioning plans.

It is recommended that the working groups are sustained and supported practically, e.g. by the provision of relevant data, until there is sufficient detail available about the future care models to enable the activity and financial consequences of these to be established.

2.2 New ways of working across key delivery areas

To support the delivery of the care models additional strategic direction work has been undertaken to redesign key delivery areas that cut across the Darzi-based workstreams (but are consistent with them), building on work which was already underway before the review commenced. To support this work, a clinical forum of Consultants from HHT and GPs was held in August to facilitate a shared vision of future service delivery, gain a senior clinical contribution to developing models of care and provide opportunity to further develop clinical working relationships. (A report from this forum is attached to this report at Appendix 2). Work focussed around the following key areas:

Locality- based multi-disciplinary teams

Proposals to develop integrated teams of health and social care practitioners based on practice populations had begun before the review but are now seen as a key enabler to delivering the care models. Bringing together health and social care provision and devolving the management of resources to team level is seen as the optimum way to ensure greater integration and joint ownership of patient and service outcomes.

This is a model of care that is well developed in some parts of the country and there is some evidence that it produces effective outcomes. Perhaps most well known is are the integrated teams that have been developed over a number of years in Sedgfield, County Durham with health and social care teams operating under a single budget and management system (Hudson 2005, 2006).

Developing the role of community hospitals

The community hospitals are seen as a rich resource within the County but are not used efficiently. Delayed discharges for some patients are largely a result of social care resource constraints (staff, funding and available care home beds) that prevent discharge back home or to residential care. However, this is resulting in blocks in other parts of the system, most importantly in HHT's ability to transfer people back to a community setting in a timely manner.

There is a consensus from the GPs and Consultants that the key roles of the hospitals should be as follows:

- 24/7 short term step-up in-patient care when acute hospital care is unnecessary
- 24/7 step-down rehabilitation to ensure timely transfer from HCH beds to the community
- Outpatients where there is sufficient critical mass to justify clinics.
- Day case treatment.
- Urgent Care.
- Maternity care
- Palliative Care

- Diagnostics (X-ray and Ultrasound)
- Specialised Stroke rehabilitation potentially creating a specialist unit in one hospital.

It was agreed that there is still much work to do as part of the ongoing strategic planning process/working group deliberations in mapping current and future activity to inform exactly what services will be provided in the community hospitals. It was agreed that this work needed to be undertaken as part of the practice-based commissioning development and within the framework of an established strategic planning structure (see below).

Integrating urgent care

The clinical forum endorsed previous work to create an integrated primary and secondary care service in A&E. There was a very strong consensus that it was crucial to ensure services remain on the hospital site due to the local geography but also because of the impact losing the Department would have on the viability of other services within the hospital.

This would involve a front door triage, directing patients to appropriate primary or secondary care facilities. Creation of a Clinical Decisions Unit with rapid access to diagnostics would reduce the need for unnecessary admissions. Patients could be 'fast tracked' to clinics as part of primary and secondary care pathways from the Department.

3. Emerging Care Models

Outlined below is a summary from each working group report produced in July that describes the general direction of travel and at the time of the report some working groups have already done further work. Each group have begun to identify the care provided in each care setting and are being tasked to work up the care pathways in more detail that will allow for quantification of the changes made and an impact analysis on infrastructure, supporting services, workforce and long term sustainability.

Maternity and Newborn

Pre-conception

A continued emphasis on sex/relationship education for all appropriate age groups and proactive preventative work for all – including teenagers.

Pregnancy testing

Free pregnancy testing at a range of outlets supported by quick and easy access to midwives through a universal booking system. Early GP notification.

Ante-natal

Teams of midwives (and others) supporting continuity of care. Midwives providing a screening and health promotion role but also other professionals such as Practice Nurses working with women throughout pregnancy as they would work with any practice patients. Early identification and management of high risk patients and early identification and management of low risk patients will enable increased choice and an effective shift into community based care.

Unwanted pregnancy

More work with teenagers and others to reduce numbers of terminations

Delivery

Increase in choice and in home births. Potential for midwifery-led unit backed up by co-located obstetric unit. Work to decrease in C-section.

Neonatal

Special care outreach service and support at home will enable greater choice and will provide more efficient management of cots for local people as often babies and/or women in labour, have to be transported elsewhere because of capacity not capability to care. Retain neo-natal network at HHT. Breast feeding sustainable support- adoption of the UNICEF Baby Friendly Initiative by both hospital and community midwives. Therapy input into infants on NICU/SCBU

Post natal care

Children's Centres and other community establishments should be used for ante natal and post natal support where the space is fit for purpose.

Children and Family Services

Universal

Emphasis on shared information and care co-ordination.

Health promotion at all levels and age groups

Ensuring community based facilities are well used and are 'fit for purpose'

One NHS number to identify services users – there can be confusion over surname for newborn and leading to more than one number being issued

Targeted

Improved safeguarding by greater understanding of need (working with Mental Health, drug services etc)

Child protection training and assessments need to be improved, along with required family support

Increase access in community to child-friendly OOH services that would prevent unnecessary admission

Improve the quality of services for adolescents with the appointment of an adolescent 'champion' charged to ensure flexible, responsive and targeted services in fit for purpose facilities. Particular focus on mental health, eating disorders, head injury. Attention given to parenting support and to workforce development. Young people friendly gold standard services

Greater access to confidential adolescence services – extension of 4us services

Targeted support for excluded children and for hard to reach groups

Locally based management of children with long term conditions

CAMHS move away from one size fits all into a flexible responsive and child friendly service

Role of school nurses/community workers etc in making contact with vulnerable children- prevention re DNAs

Specialist

Increase in therapy for children with disabilities; investment in community services

Increased investment in community paediatric nurses; enabling hospital at home and earlier discharge

Involving third sector at a specialist level – to enable sustainability of support

Rapid assessment in A&E and ward to prevent unnecessary admission, especially of OOH children. Appropriate clerking routines.

Specialist staff working together in the Assessment Unit

Improved local palliative care; increase in community paediatrics

Tertiary specialist

Maintain and increase clinical networking (oncology and child protection as particular issues) to improve quality and to avoid unnecessary out of county placements

Ensure specialist services (e.g. cardiology, echo-cardiography) are sustainable

Staying Healthy and Independent

Obesity - primary prevention pathway. Potential expansion of peer support model currently used in South Wye Breast Feeding project

GP services: provision of advice and support for weight management and weight gain prevention

Raise awareness of obesogenic environment: develop a more physically active culture through environmental planning, play provision, employers as role models, use of local champions and healthy eating policies in institutions, public buildings and workplace canteens

Smoking cessation: improved socio-demographic information and staff training in social marketing. Stop smoking education needs to be non-judgemental and focus on health and non-health benefits of quitting - financial etc. Explore the introduction of incentives for quitters

Sexual health: increased capacity including training co-ordinator to train GPs and others for brief interventions. Sexual health 'normalised' through integration and delivery with other services. Positive selling - connecting with young people in appropriate ways: access for all appropriate to rural county

Alcohol service not visible - improve quality of leaflets etc and communicate range of services that are available more effectively. Awareness campaign: education involving all

relevant partners from primary school right through. Provide information to empower personal responsibility. Seamless care pathway for people with a drink problem regardless of how they are identified - multi-sectoral, holistic approach

Focus on psychological well-being Community /psychological well being/ mental health services more accessible. Increased capacity of early intervention mental health teams: address waiting times. Improve partnership working across all sectors: health still dominates with 'medical' model

Introduce systematic completion of health (inequalities) impact assessments on all commissioning proposals.

Commission an enhanced Health Trainer Service to meet the West Midlands SHA recommendation

Look for ways in which the Third Sector can work more closely together without losing local ownership and impact

Long Term Conditions

Prevalence of long-term conditions in Herefordshire

Joint needs assessment needed to establish what are the 10 most prevalent LTC in the patch. Based on the West Midlands model, the working group proposes the following generic model for Herefordshire:

Population prevention

A key objective of the strategy is to shift the emphasis to prevention. Proposal is for deliberate positive social marketing for Herefordshire and neighbours; a positive, non-judgmental approach using the same language as the public.

Early assessment and diagnosis

A proactive approach adopting a consistent approach to profiling and predicting (PARR/Dr Foster). Encourage GPs and Advanced Practitioners to increase early screening in primary care. Clearly defined, agreed and owned pathways that go across all sectors of care.

Coherent social base programme to support health. Improve consistency of referrals

More individualised approach:

- Single assessment process/one stop shop; clear, accurate information

- Single record, supported by IT developments

- “Family” is as defined by customer; basic social need acknowledged

- Refocus pharmacy

Ongoing care: self care and self-management, secondary prevention

Herefordshire Direct: Single point of contact for public, service users, carers, voluntary sector and professionals offering comprehensive and up-to-date database of services and resources, skilled and consistent signposting service. Web-based and telephone service. This can be created by building upon current arrangements across agencies.

(insert Herefordshire Direct diagram)

Self-management – skill up patient groups for mutual support and individuals to self care. Use of individual budgets to enhance personal responsibility.

Care at home or locally where possible: Involve third sector organisations where possible.

Near patient/home testing

Mobile unit for rural areas

Use community venues for patient groups (schools, community hospitals)

Use of wellbeing coordinator and care coordinator as LTC issues change. Model of care coordination needs to be selected and implemented.

Single team approach: outpatients, acute and intermediate care

Knowing the population/systems for recall and review

Information sharing across the whole pathway

Reduce the amount of long-term care provided in secondary care

Reduce admissions (in which types of case/speciality etc: implications for community nursing?)

Increase number of Emergency Practitioners to 9 (from how many?)

Very specialist care should take place in specialist units.

Rehabilitation

Therapy input to intermediate care and community rehabilitation for users who fall outside intermediate care criteria. Role of assistive technology in speeding up discharge/increasing independence. Helping people get back to work (pathways to work programme by Job Centre Plus), direct payments. Implement housing reviews

Planned Care

Self Assessment

This was felt to be too variable and unpredictable, also little evidence – should be looked at by another workgroup. Those requiring a planned intervention should be assessed by a health professional quickly.

Better access to diagnosis and treatment

Pre-referral diagnostics: GPs should be enabled to schedule more diagnostic tests before referral. Need to increase advice from secondary care on what to do by agreeing more specific care pathways, helping to avoid unnecessary referral. To achieve this requires:

- Faster access to diagnostics ie within 24 or 48 hours (requires investment); diagnostics on a one stop shop basis where care pathways allow.
- Improved communication and education between primary and secondary care, including provision of *advice* to GPs and constructive feedback on referrals. The timing and nature of some of these communications should be set out in care pathways.
- Using the intranet/internet more for accessing referral criteria and advice on referring, information on the likely post-operative effects of different operations/recovery rehabilitation issues so that GPs can discuss practical issues with patients. This may simply be a joint primary-secondary care agreement on which existing information on the internet is suitable. Improved paperless communication systems needed.
- Avoiding referral if the ultimate outcome is likely to be conservative management of the patient – ask the patient what they want at the outset.

More pre-assessment for surgery– if significant risk then don't refer.

Improve the Choose and Book system to allow GPs to refer to named consultants not specialities. Generic referral doesn't take into account the increasing sub-specialisation in medicine.

Streamlined secondary care consultations and care closer to home

- Increase the number of one-stop-shop clinics where a consultation is scheduled with the appropriate diagnostics to hand.
- Decide what pre-assessment is needed at one stop clinic via an initial screen by support worker. Pre-assessment can take place at outpatient appointment, GP practice (by practice-based OT), or by community re-enablement team.
- Decide whether treatment is day case or inpatient at the one-stop clinic.
- Decentralise outpatients: based on population density, outpatient clinics should be provided in Leominster, Ledbury and Ross. Constraints on the decentralisation of outpatient services are critical mass, the 18 week care pathway and sub-specialisation.
- Copy correspondence between secondary and primary care to the patient for information.

review of the secondary care portfolio and tertiary care provision to ensure best quality care, close to home where possible

NHS Herefordshire should undertake a service by service review of HHT's service portfolio focusing on the following questions:

- What tertiary services could be repatriated in whole or in part from Birmingham?
- Is Birmingham the best tertiary care partner – what other options are there?
- How should HHT's secondary services portfolio respond to the challenges in *Investing for Health* to 'vulnerable' specialties (emergency surgery, A&E, paediatrics and obstetrics), the size of HHT's catchment population, subspecialisation, training and EWTD issues etc?

Increase day case surgery

- There are opportunities to increase then level of day surgery/day care (eg gastro-enterology) by targeting specific procedures and by developing improved arrangements for post operative care eg
 - Outreach team
 - Intermediate care inreach
 - Patient hotel
 - Use of community hospitals
 - Social care.
- Audit and benchmark current practice and share results with clinicians – learn from best practice.
- Better scheduling of patients would increase day case rates – patients last on an afternoon list will very likely need to stay in overnight. HHT's day surgery unit's productivity could be improved through a mixture of improved forward planning (patient selection) and changes in consultants' job plans to dedicate mornings to surgery.
- Patients should be told about what the day surgery pathway involves and encouraged to make appropriate provision for managing at home in advance.
- In view of the benefits to be derived from separately streaming 1) elective and emergency patients and 2) day case patients and inpatients, consideration should be given to the creation of a dedicated day case unit within the County Hospital.
- Mobile day surgery serving a relatively small catchment population presents significant logistical, governance and financial issues which are likely to frustrate its introduction locally.
- HHT starts from a relatively high baseline of day surgery.

Coordinate discharge planning

- Ensure an assessment of discharge needs has been completed before admission, including social needs.
- Create a co-ordination role to case manage the patient through the planned care pathway.

Acute Care

Vertical integration of acute care services is required and we must create pathways that are clear to the patient that segment them appropriately to the correct services

Overview of what is required

- Access to 24/7 services – primary, secondary and social care with a broad suite of diagnostics available
- Single point of contact for the patient – either walk in or on the telephone
- Appropriate and timely assessment wherever and whenever the patient accesses services
- A pathway that is intuitive and easy to access
- Local triage by a health professional

- Access to a multi-agency care record
- Access to transport services
- Signposting a range of appropriate services
- Ability to refer to any to any acute service from any part of the pathway by any agency if appropriate

What does an integrated service look like?

Accessed by either walk-in (face-to-face) or telephone line with triage completed by a health professional (GP). A range of services that can be accessed directly:

Primary Care

- Urgent appointments in-hours at local surgeries
- Home visits by GP/Nurse/Emergency Care Practitioner (WM Ambulance Service)

Intermediate Care

- Rapid Response Team consisting of nurse, physiotherapist, OT and social services

Urgent Care Centre

- Primary care focus co-located with emergency care facility
- Staffed by Emergency Care Practitioners with knowledge of local patch and range of services available, which may be different in and out of hours
- Range of diagnostics available
- Access to all patient records
- Operational, HR and governance links to the Emergency Room (see below)
- Based in Hereford (preferably on HHT site in order to access advice, diagnostics etc.), and possibly at Ross and Leominster (if population warranted) with access to x-ray facilities.

Minor Injury Units

How do these feature in the model?

Emergency Care

- A&E / Emergency Room for the acutely ill requiring immediate treatment (target wait of 2 hours)
- A range of urgent outpatient appointments
- Clinical Decision Unit (CDU) - potentially able to reduce emergency admissions by 10-20%

Back into the community support options

Choices based on an agreed and formalised menu of services with agreed protocols for 'signposting' patients, including resources such as:

- Social care
- Residential/nursing home
- Housing department
- Assistive technology/telecare

Transport

- 24/7 patient transport services to ensure the patient is in the most appropriate care setting, whether at home or in hospital.

Possible initiatives to undertake (jointly across HHT, PCT, Social services)

- Project to audit, identify and address 'frequent flyers' attending A&E
- Local telephone-based helpline (888)

Service directory to educate patients on acute service system

Mental Health

1. Children's Services – a specialist integrated service 24/7

The vision is for a model of care which:

- Emphasises building resilience in **all** young people
- Supports people in services already used through greater CAMHS input to universal services
- Prioritises early intervention: picking up families and young people prior to the problem escalating
- Offers a single point of access

Children, young people and families will be supported where possible by universal services and third sector initiatives, but have access to a range of specialist inputs from an integrated CAMHS (health, education, social care), addressing mental health and behaviour issues including:

Post-traumatic stress disorder
Psychoses
Eating disorders
Personality disorder
Self harm
Anxiety
Depression
OLD
Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)
Autistic spectrum disorders
Conduct disorder.

The emphasis in addressing behavioural issues will be upon achieving better outcomes for the child, and supporting parents and professionals, rather than emphasising achieving a diagnosis. CAMHS will provide strong liaison into acute services via a mental health link worker for A&E/Paediatric ward.

Three distinct initiatives are envisaged:

Develop CAMHS role in Children's Centres for children 0 - 12: Bringing CAMHS input to the emerging Children's Centres, raising awareness, training and supporting the universal services workers from health, education and social care, providing input to parenting groups/courses, promoting a shift in emphasis from monitoring parenting to enabling parenting, offering some service out of normal hours.

Increase awareness and ease access to services for children 11 – 16 through extension of the Info Zone initiative in secondary schools: young people and parents would be able to access health promotion, service information and CAMHS support via the Zones. The Zones would need to be open morning, lunchtime and evening so that young people could go there without having to ask a teacher's permission.

Develop a young people service 14 – 25 years: This service will enable CAMHS to be delivered in a young people friendly way and increase ease of joint working with education, youth services and other young people's community services. This service will be achieved through pooling some resource between existing CAMHS and adult mental health services (see Diagram 2 in Appendix 1).

2. Adults of working age 25 - 64

The vision is for a Population Health Approach, offering 24/7 continuity of care across:

Age
Services – health and social care partners
Course of problem
Range of problems

Range of services and options with a choice in a stepped care model – from prevention to intervention using prevention, early identification and intervention within a: multi-agency & multi-access approach. Staffed by: a well-trained range of professionals who are welcoming, engaging, optimistic, reactive, responsive, flexible, and resourced and supported with ongoing education – positive about and promoting mental health. In modern facilities, with input to a range of other agencies in all parts of the county. Or own home or preferred location – with a choice. To include rapid access to specialist and tertiary services based on detailed assessment of need and facilitated moving on/progression.

3. Older People Mental Health & Wellbeing

The proposal is to operate a Herefordshire-specific West Midlands dementia pathway and also to provide a distinct functional mental health service. This builds on the current 2008 Service. The vision is for integrated services which incorporate the following:

Community services for start of pathway

- Joining community services to make a 23hr “hub” for advice and signposting – incl. Alzheimer’s, Age Concern, village wardens, signposting scheme
- Telephone and local access points > early detection and intervention

Referral process – memory clinics and assessment

Hospital services – psychiatric liaison (2 –way process DGH > MH service)

Specialist residential/nursing home care provision

Supported housing – lifelong

Modernised in-patient service

Transport

Carer support

Psychological support

The service would offer increased capacity for demographic change, personalisation, open access and choice, specialist support to wide range of generic support services and help to people in managing their condition and remaining independent (telecare, adaptations)

Functional Illness

(specialist separate in-patient unit planned)

Develop assessment and therapies and include trained professionals in resource centre, home or other environment.

End of Life Care

Currently, we do not have an agreed “End of Life Care Model” in Herefordshire, however, there is a “Palliative Care Strategy” which includes end of life care and there is agreement that more adults than currently do would wish to die at home. A shift to strengthen care in the community is therefore required.

However, it is also recognised that a proportion of deaths are always going to take place in other settings, such as the acute trust, community hospitals, care homes and the hospice, therefore standards of end of life care are needed for all settings.

The model for end of life care in Herefordshire therefore needs to be agreed following publication of the End of Life Strategy but at this time the proposed model / pathway for end of life care is based on the seven steps used in the West Midlands proposed pathway. These are:

1. Being informed about choices

Developing better information materials was noted as essential and an area that requires improvement. It is important to see this information as needed throughout life – ‘we are all going to die’ with the inclusion of end of life messages in health awareness campaigns. The ‘*Staying fit and healthy*’ work stream could have a role to play.

In addition, information about what medical treatments can achieve is required so that patients are not actively treated inappropriately or are not denied the option of active treatment for a reversible complication.

But care must be taken not to raise public expectation of choices before systems and resources are in place to actually deliver on the choices. Information about choice of preferred place of terminal care should include a realistic description of services available in each setting, and the pros and cons of care in each setting, so an informed preference can be made by the patient, and with regard to their carers and family.

Acknowledgment that preference can change depending on the situation (e.g. challenging symptoms), and that given resources are not unlimited, meaning that preference is not possible for all people. But the aim should be to enable as many people as possible to die in the place of their final choice (i.e. the choice made closest to their death).

2. Discussing end-of-life wishes

Health and social care professionals need to feel confident that they can and should discuss end of life issues with patients and their carers

Establishing communication skills training sessions for all staff, not only those who have a specific remit for care of the dying was seen as essential because end of life care can become the responsibility of a diverse range of professionals across the health and social care community and because we wish to ensure flexible skills within the system. This training needs to be mandatory. In addition, patients in Herefordshire have requested access to psychological services much earlier in the pathway. This will require additional resources.

3. Assessing need and planning care

Assessment of the physical, psychological, spiritual and social needs and wishes of the patient to ensure these are carried out wherever possible will be crucial to ensure choice. This information must be recorded and with the patient’s permission shared with family and carers. This information will need to “travel” with the patient and / or be available to care givers across health and social care to ensure the wishes of the patient can be carried out. This requires shared information systems with a record of clinical details and preferred place of care and death if known.

4. Co-ordinating care

The work of health, social care and the voluntary sector must be better linked. One point of contact is needed for patients, families, and professional carers with one coordination centre to ensure help is organised quickly in and out of hours. Some have suggested St Michael’s Hospice would be the ideal centre for this.

With regard to hospital services, the new “Supportive Care Nurse Specialist” post in Hereford County Hospital could ensure rapid assessment of patients registered on Gold Standards Framework general practice registers to assist with more timely and better planned discharge. Shared clinical information systems are again required to enable this co-ordination.

5. Organisations working together

A range of services are required 24/7. These include: qualified nursing care, personal care, night sitting, housekeeping, meals, transport and access to drugs and equipment. This is particularly challenging for Herefordshire because of the rural nature of the county and the difficulty finding carers. Flexibility and immediate response are essential features. Proposals should include the development of a shared care service, including a rapid response element with one point of access as outlined above.

6. Last days of life

A person's last days of life need to be made as comfortable as possible and ideally in the place of their choice. The patient's preferred place of care should already be known but we need to become better at documenting this earlier on. Comfortable transport needs to be available 24/7 to enable preferred place of care to be achieved. I.e. transfer from hospital / hospice to home to die. The End of Life Care Pathway needs implementation in the community and further embedding in each care setting to ensure sustained changes in practice.

7. Care after death

The body will be treated in ways that respect religious and cultural beliefs. Those close to the person who has died will continue to be cared for after death and in the following weeks and months if needed. Bereavement services need review in each care setting and across organisations as being led by St Michael's. Herefordshire needs a bereavement strategy.

4. Understanding the geographical, service activity and financial context

This chapter summarises activity and financial resource data that is detailed more fully in a separate technical supplement developed by Crystal Blue Consulting. Data has been collected according to the dimensions below.

Data Type	Sector	Service Area:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Population £ finance workforce service: patient activity, beds 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> PCT Hereford Hospital NHS Trust Social Services 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hospital Community, Primary Care, Social Services
		Group Care Area: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Maternity and newborn Childrens' health Staying healthy Long term conditions Urgent and emergency care Planned care Mental health End of life care (Liverpool 'gold standard') Long term residential care

4.1 Population

Herefordshire has a resident population of 180,000 across six localities, with 40% based in Hereford City. The age profile shows a relatively old population, with 20% aged 65+ compared to 16% in England, ranging from 17% in the City to 23% in the rural locality.

Table 1: Population 2008

Age band	Locality - Ross	Locality - City	Locality - Bromyard/Ledbury	Locality - Rural	Locality - Leominster	Total	%
0-14	2,687	12,488	4,477	4,913	4,004	28,569	16%
15-64	11,388	48,727	18,150	19,980	16,382	114,627	64%
65+	3,933	12,968	6,486	7,262	5,792	36,441	20%
Total	18,008	74,183	29,113	32,155	26,178	179,637	100%
%	10%	41%	16%	18%	15%	100%	

Table 2: % in Age Groups 2008

Age band	Locality - Ross	Locality - City	Locality - Bromyard/ Ledbury	Locality – Rural	Locality - Leominster	Total	%
0-14	15%	17%	15%	15%	15%	16%	16%
15-64	63%	66%	62%	62%	63%	64%	64%
65+	22%	17%	22%	23%	22%	20%	20%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

There is 2% growth anticipated across the whole population, but this is confined to the 65+ age group. The working age and child population will reduce. The implication is increased demand for health and social care and a reduced supply of labour in the future.

Table 3: Change in Population 2008 - 2013

Age band)	2008	2013	
0 - 4	8,696	8,700	+ 0%
5 - 14	19,873	18,900	- 5%
15 - 44	63,583	57,700	- 9%
45 - 64	51,044	53,900	+ 6%
65 - 74	18,842	24,300	+ 29%
75 - 84	12,739	14,400	+ 13%
85 +	4,860	6,100	+ 26%
Total	179,637	184,000	+ 2%
	100%	100%	

Age band	Total
0-14	- 3%
15-64	- 3%
65+	+ 23%
Total	+ 2%

4.2 Geography and Patient Flows

Herefordshire's 180,000 population is spread across a wide rural area, with average density of 82 people per square kilometre, compared to 380 in England and over 10,000 in parts of inner London. Rurality increases to the west and patients flow from Powys, (density 25 people per square kilometre), expanding HHT's catchment population by 18% to up to 225,000. On the other hand, 18% of Herefordshire PCT patients are treated in hospitals outside the county, so there appears to be no net cross boundary inflow.

The rural spread means that the nearest neighbouring hospitals are located in:

- Worcester 27 miles
- Gloucester 32 miles
- Abergavenny 24 miles


To the west there is no hospital between Hereford and Llandrindod Wells (Powys) and beyond, 40 miles distant, illustrated in the graph below.

Residents to the east of Hereford City have access to Hereford County Hospital (provided by HHT), Worcestershire Royal Hospital and Gloucestershire Hospital within the 'golden hour' time to treatment of 60

minutes¹. Residents to the west of Hereford, including Powys, depend upon HHT for hospital access within an hour.

Royal Colleges suggest that a population base of 450,000 - 500,000 is required to sustain an acute general hospital². Rural isolation therefore throws up a tension between being a small centre of population and requiring local access to acute services.

Figure 1: Geographical Location of Hospitals³



The geographical isolation is highlighted by ambulance journey patterns. According to ambulance officials who were interviewed, nearly all emergencies in the HHT catchment area go to Hereford County Hospital, with few diversions. (Worcester is used for patients in the east, e.g. the Bromyard area, due to proximity, but these are not classed as diversions). Air ambulance (helicopter) is staffed 7am – sunset, seven days a week, to transport major trauma to appropriate centres, e.g. Birmingham. ‘The lay of the land’ means that HHT is the only suitable destination for acute patients in the area. There is relatively little choice.

¹ ‘Golden hour’ originates from battle medicine as the critical time between injury and skilled intervention which maximises the chance of survival. This is sometimes translated into a travel time limit in discussions of rural medicine.

² *Organisation of Acute General Hospital Services*, Joint Consultants Committee (of the Royal Colleges), July 1999.

³ Source: HHT Mars 4.0 Market Analysis

Table 4: PCT Expenditure 2007/8

Service	County Hospital	Community Hospitals	Community	Primary Care	Mental Health	Learning Disabilities	Total Health	Social Care	OOA	Total
Maternity & Newborn	3,431,769	-	-	-	-	-	3,431,769	-	-	3,431,769
Children	5,101,995	-	6,918,000	-	-	-	12,019,995	-	-	12,019,995
Urgent & Emergency Care	22,999,626	-	-	-	-	-	22,999,626	-	-	22,999,626
Planned Care	26,173,561	-	-	-	-	-	26,173,561	-	-	26,173,561
Mental Health Long Term Conditions	317,496	-	-	-	18,538,000	-	18,855,496	6,336,000	7,869,000	33,060,496
Staying Healthy		7,101,000	-	-	-	1,868,000	8,969,000	-	-	8,969,000
End of life care										
Long term residential care										
Other	10,959,566	-	20,240,000	62,328,000	-	-	93,527,566	-	-	93,527,566
Total Herefordshire Provision	68,984,013	7,101,000	27,158,000	62,328,000	18,538,000	1,868,000	185,977,013	6,336,000	7,869,000	200,182,013
OOA Acute									56,981,000	56,981,000
Total PCT Expenditure	68,984,013	7,101,000	27,158,000	62,328,000	18,538,000	1,868,000	185,977,013	6,336,000	64,850,000	257,163,013

Not shown explicitly. Integrated with other spending streams.

No PCT expenditure. LA expenditure is considered separately.

4.3 Finance

Health The PCT spent £257 million in 2007/8:

- 49% (£126m) on acute care with 26% (£69m) at HHT;
- out of the other £57m acute, £23m represents specialist tertiary services⁴, leaving a total of £34m out of area acute;
- secondary acute therefore totals £93m;
- primary care comprises 24% (£62m);
- community care + mental health + learning disabilities comprises 24% (£62m);
- out of area treatment is mainly specialist acute services but it also includes £8m of mental health services.

Social Services The local authority spent £40 million on residential, nursing home and domiciliary care:

- £25m (63%) on residential home placements
- £7m (17%) on nursing home placements
- £8m (20%) on home-based or domiciliary caseloads

The largest single client group, in terms of expenditure, is the elderly with £17m on care home placements for 974 people and £5m on domiciliary care, totalling £22m or 56% of resources. Learning disabilities comprises 27% of spend (£10m) with 167 people placed in care homes.

4.4 Workforce

There are 2,700 FTE staff directly employed in the health sector. Social Services funds independent providers who employ care workers in residential homes and domiciliary services.

Table 5: NHS Workforce 2007/8

Service	County Hospital	Community Hospitals	Community	Primary Care	LD	MH	Total Health	%
Doctor	221		8	122		18	369	14%
Nurse	709	131	236	50	25	158	1,309	48%
Other Direct Care	243	5	157	91		31	527	20%
Other Staff	348	25	73			49	495	18%
Total	1,521	160	474	263	25	257	2,700	100%
%	56%	6%	18%	10%	1%	10%	100%	

4.5 Services Funded by Social Services

1228 Herefordshire adult residents are being funded to live in residential or nursing homes, representing a reduction of 11% since 2004/5. Community at

⁴ Source: PCT Strategic Vision Document, p 32, 'Five Year Overarching Commissioning Strategy – 2008/2013'

home has risen by 21% in volume, leading to a net 14% increase in numbers of people receiving care at home or in accommodation. This contrasts with the 75% increase in the number of assessments and reviews being carried out since 2004/5.

Table 6: Increasing demand for adult social care

Service	04/05	05/06	06/07	08/09	Increase over 4 years
Point of Contact	3915	4566	4871	5725	+46%
Contact leading to assessment	2977	2854	3153	3698	+24%
Assessments and reviews	6545	8241	9405	11425	+75%
Community at home	4510	4908	5037	5467	+21%
Residential + nursing	1378	1381	1326	1228	-11%
Total community at home + residential & nursing	5888	6289	6363	6695	+14%

Individual Budget Agenda. The local government transformation agenda of self directed and individual budgets has established a target of 10% of all adult social care users, including mental health and carers, to have individual budgets during 2008/9. This percentage may increase during 2009-11.

Long Term Conditions and Early Intervention. Social services has invested in more community support to help the growing number of people with long term conditions and complex needs to continue living in their own homes. There has been an active shift in investment towards early intervention in the form of information, advice and support.

Table 7: Early Intervention – information advice and support 2007/8

	Volume	Currency
Signposting scheme - partnership with PCT/Fire Service	3480	Referrals
Village wardens	2215	Contacts
Telecare	550	People assisted
Footcare sessions	1336	People
LIFT exercise scheme	683	Referrals
People supported by joint benefits team (achieving £4.2m additional income)	4000	People

4.6 Hospital-Based Services

All beds in HHT are shown in Table 11. Comparisons with the England average (Table 8) show 235 elective and non-elective adult beds and 267 acute including paediatrics, A&E observation and discharge lounge beds, equating to 1.48 beds per 1000 population, compared to an England average of 2.12 beds per 1000 population, a gap of 31%.

One third of beds in Hereford are located in the community hospitals (see Table 10). If we take these into account, there are 2.18 beds per 1000 population. A similar comparison in England, bringing in geriatric beds, is

2.58 beds per 1000 population, which is 21% above Hereford's provision. This comparison indicates that Hereford is below the national average in its acute + slow stream bed complement.

Table 8: Inpatient Provision

	Hereford Beds	Hereford Beds per 1000 Population	England Beds per 1,000 Population
HHT Adult Elective & Non-Elective	235	1.30	
HHT Paediatrics, A&E observation, discharge lounge	32	0.18	
Acute (ex. maternity)	267	1.48	2.12
Community	126	0.71	0.47 geriatric
Total	393	2.18	2.58

Using England as the comparator, HHT's utilisation against population is lower than average while throughput per bed is higher and, length of stay of medical patients is marginally lower, probably facilitated by availability of beds in community hospitals for step down patients.

Table 9: Utilisation and ALOS Comparison

		ALOS Inpatient FCE	Utilisation = FCE per 1,000 Pop	Throughput = FCE per Bed
ENGLAND	Surgical	3.82	116	
	Medical	4.8	98	
	Total	4.3	214	64
HHT	Surgical	3.82	89	
	Medical	4.3	73	
	Total	4.1	162	81
Difference between HHT and England		-5%	-24%	+27%

Table 10: Beds in Community Hospitals

	Available Bed	Average Daily Occupied Bed	FCE	Average Length of Stay
Ledbury	14	13.0	191	24.9
Ross on Wye	32	29.8	530	20.6
Bromyard	14	12.2	157	28.4
Hillside	22	18.7	326	20.9
Leominster	34	29.2	419	25.4
Kington Court	10	6.5	71	33.5
Total	126	109.42	1,694	23.6

Primary and secondary care clinicians, at their joint clinical forum in August, observed that there is scope to use beds in community hospitals to increase patient throughput and treat a larger number of patients.

Table 11: All Beds in HHT

By Ward	Ward	Speciality	Emergency		Inpatient		Total	Notes
			Beds	Beds	Beds	Beds		
	EAU	Medicine	24			24		
	Arrow	Medicine	23			23		
	Lugg	Medicine	29			29		
	Frome	Medicine	28			28	Includes 10 bedded Acute Stroke Unit	
	Kenwater	Medicine	22			22		
	Leadon	Female surgery & GI medicine	5	18		23		
	Monnow	Male surgery & GI medicine	4	18		22		
	Wye	Elective orthopaedics		23		23		
	Teme	Trauma Orthopaedics		24		24		
	Women's Health	Obs. & Gynae		7		7		
	CCU			6		6	4 Acute, 2 Step-down	
	ITU			4		4		
		Total	135	100		235		
By Elective/Non-Elective		Elective		48		48		
		Non-Elective	135	52		187		
		Total	135	100		235		
Other	Daycase	Trolleys		20		20		
	A&E	Chair		6		6		
	Departure Lounge	Obs	4	4		4		
	Maternity	Inpatient	6	6		12		
	Delivery			21		21		
	SCBU	Cots		5		5		
	Paediatrics	Inpatient		12		12		
		Daycase trolleys		16		16	Capacity 20 (4 not staffed)	
		Total	42	58		100		
		Grand Total	177	158		335		

4.7 Primary Care

There are 122 general practitioners, equivalent to approximately 1 GP per 1500 population, in line with the national average. The relatively low hospital utilisation suggests that primary care doctors are managing patients that in more urban settings might be treated in hospital.

4.8 Clinical Critical Mass

Healthcare is becoming increasingly specialist at the top of the acuity spectrum, illustrated by sub-specialisation of doctors and movement away from generalist training. Hospitals need to serve large populations (400,000+) to adequately cover the range of specialisms. National and local policy is also aiming to shift treatment out of secondary care and into the community, nearer to patients' homes where possible. Hereford's small population base has led to scrutiny of critical mass.

Medical staffing cover⁵ and patient volumes are key components when weighing critical mass. It is an issue that relates to the structure and balance of services in acute hospitals (which provide critical care facilities). We consider individual specialties required to support a general hospital:

- Accident and emergency: a relatively small unit with 46,000 A&E attendances, (less than 40,000 attendances is classed as small by the profession). There are plans to divert primary-level attendances via primary care;
- Critical care services, i.e. ITU and HDU: there are 4 ITU beds at HHT and admissions exceed 200 per annum, viewed professionally as the clinical safety threshold;
- Maternity: there are less than 2000 births (classed as a category A unit at below 2500 births, Safer Childbirth, October 2007). At the time of the review there were 4 consultant obstetricians. The Durrow Report called for an increase in medical staffing. We understand that the PCT has agreed to fund additional staff to maintain a local service;
- Paediatrics: there is a budgeted establishment of 6.9 FTE consultants and 17 doctors overall (including juniors). There has been a rapid rise in consultant numbers, for cover reasons, without apparent corresponding rise in workload (a nation-wide phenomenon). Referral rates are lower than average, suggesting that much care is contained at primary level (new outpatient referrals are 5.5 per 1000 population compared to 10/1000 in England and at 144 per consultant compared to 250 for England).

⁵ The medical profession has leverage in determining clinical quality levels and matches between doctor and patient numbers through the following mechanisms: (a) approval of job plans and adverts for consultant grades, and (b) recognition of training posts.

The implication of this overview is that specialties may require investment to maintain them locally.

4.9 Financial Critical Mass

The PCT spent £257 million in 2007/8, £69 million of which was invested in HHT. Questions of financial critical mass focus on HHT, partly highlighted through the recent bid for Foundation Trust status.

Flows. In principle there is scope to extend care out of secondary into primary care (illustrated by the paediatric summary above). In practice, the NHS financial regime of Payment by Results makes it difficult to redistribute resources from secondary to primary care because average costing (including contribution to fixed costs and overheads) is used rather than marginal costing (reflecting the direct cost of change). It is not clear the extent to which commissioning intentions are bound by these rules or the level of flexibility in resource transfer that is permitted.

Long Term Financial Stability. HHT has a turnover of £104 million and a high PFI mortgage of 12%. PFI depletes the asset base, reducing HHT's ability to raise capital in future years. This, together with the need to achieve continued cost reduction efficiency savings, inhibits HHT's ability to make sustainable plans to replace equipment and upgrade clinical facilities.

Revenue Base. In principle there is scope to repatriate work back to Hereford, given the high out of county expenditure. In practice this would necessitate (a) investment in additional clinical capacity and (b) change of referral patterns. Further work is needed to explore this.

4.10 Conclusion

Hereford's population base is small, but nevertheless needs to be supported by an acute hospital to provide proximity within an hour's travel radius across the county. There is a case for change on the grounds of financial structure and the need to access capital in the future.

5. Non-financial Option Appraisal

5.1 Development of success criteria

Building on a July workshop with the Stakeholder Advisory Group, HSMC developed a list of success criteria based on the key principles underpinning the review (see section 1 above) and on options appraisal work undertaken in other health and social care communities. These criteria were then agreed at a Steering Group meeting in August 2008 and used to structure discussion at a subsequent half-day options appraisal workshop (10th September 2008). The criteria are set out in Table 12 below.

5.2 Engaging with stakeholders to explore future options

HSMC held a series of interviews with provider stakeholders from the PCT, HHT, Ambulance Trust, Herefordshire Council and voluntary sector organisations. These provided an opportunity to share more widely the aims and progress of the wider review and to obtain views as to the future provision and options for organisational configuration in Herefordshire.

Overall, people were of the view that the quality of service delivery was more important than the configuration of organisations and that the benefits of going through a major organisational restructure needed to be very clear. However, most also commented that the needs of the population were changing and therefore change was necessary if *'local services were going to keep up'*.

The clinicians interviewed were the least receptive to organisational reconfiguration stating that it would detract staff from doing the *'real work'* and not solve the underlying issues of professional collaboration and care coordination. Most interviewees commented that services needed to work more closely together than happens currently to ensure care is co-ordinated but that this could (and should) be achieved through the joint development of care pathways. Work in progress on care pathways as a result of the review was seen to be extremely positive with comments such as *'this is the first time all organisations have talked together about what needs to be done'*; it is paramount that this work is sustained and appropriately resourced, as previously recommended.

Retaining local hospital services was seen of paramount importance although as one cabinet member remarked *'people are happy to travel out of the county for specialist services; they know we can't have everything'*. All those interviewed agreed that Herefordshire needed its own A&E and maternity service given the local geography. The provision of services was seen as more important than the organisation that delivered them although an *'outside organisation'* such as another Trust was not felt to be locally acceptable.

Some clinicians and voluntary organisations had reservations about creating a large monopoly provider of acute and community services suggesting it may prevent other providers from having an opportunity to enter the market and reduce the tension in the system necessary for innovation and patient

responsiveness. There was also concern that if commissioning was weak resources would shift towards acute care and '*potentially strip community services*'. One GP felt strongly that if this was the preferred option then GP presence as a provider on the board should be explored within future governance arrangements.

However, some reflected views of others involved in the review that '*something needed to be done to help everyone work together*' and that a new organisation may be what is required. What was expressed very strongly however was that this should not be a 'take over by any organisation but a new one created.

Some working in the voluntary sector commented that closer working relationships were needed between themselves and the statutory organisations. They were less clear about how an organisational change could improve services but felt their knowledge of local communities was not exploited to its full potential. They were often asked by service users to explain how services worked or why certain decisions had been made but were often unclear themselves. In this respect, they felt they could support the PCT and Council in working with and engaging users in service developments.

5.3 Children's and Mental Health Services

Due to the complexity and nature of these services, the future organisational model for these services are being considered outside of this review following the agreement of a preferred option for the other services. The integration of children's services to meet national standards is a high priority for the County and involves stakeholders outside the scope of this review. The population size of Herefordshire suggests that the ongoing provision of a mental health service by the PCT may not be clinically or financially robust and options for the provision of this service by a Mental Health Trust are being considered. However, the need to integrate these services with other services in the County is seen as crucial to the overall strategic care model and the impact of any organisational configuration on the delivery of these services will need to be taken into account.

5.4 The "long list" of options

Drawing on the input of the eight Darzi-based workstreams, and on interviews with key stakeholders as above, HSMC developed the list of options for future organisational configurations set out in Box 1 below, excluding children and mental health services. From the beginning, it was noted and agreed that these options would have significant implications for mental health and for children's services – and it was decided that these were so important that they needed to be addressed separately (rather than running the risk of failing to do them justice as part of more general discussions). Where general practice is included in the options this refers to them having a provider representation within the governance structure of an integrated organisation,

Although 'do nothing' was retained as a potential option, as is customary in option appraisals, this had already effectively been ruled out by the Steering Group based on a collective view that remaining with the current organisational configuration was not sustainable in the long-term. These options were agreed by the Steering Group at their August 2008 meeting and were used to structure discussion at the September 2008 option appraisal workshop.

It was also decided by the Steering Group that the appraisal exercise would be used to exclude some clearly unworkable options, and to shortlist those with potential to be considered in more detail once the details of the proposed care pathways have been fully worked up, and their implications assessed. At that point it will become possible to determine which provider option would best underpin the strategic model of care, on the principle that "form should follow function".

5.5 The option appraisal process

At the September 2008 option appraisal workshop, participants were presented with the success criteria and options to date. This event was attended by over 30 local stakeholders, including elected members, non-executive directors, managers and practitioners, and including representatives from acute care, primary care, community health services, social care, children's services, mental health and the voluntary sector. Working in five mixed groups, participants were asked to rank each option for future service provision against the success criteria in Table 12, ranking each option out of 3 for each criterion (with 1 = low chance of meeting the criterion, 2 = medium chance and 3 = high chance). Results were then fed back in plenary and summarised quantitatively. Groups were also asked to feed back the rationale for their preferred option, any options which they had definitely ruled out, and any areas where it had been difficult to achieve consensus. Throughout this workshop, the aim as explained above was to narrow down the initial long list of options, and also to explore key themes and to identify any emerging consensus about the future direction of travel.

Table 12: Success criteria

<i>Shortlist criteria</i>	<i>Description</i>	<i>Scoring High/Med/Low</i>
Promotes quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • maintains or improves health and wellbeing outcomes • provides appropriate range of care pathways • delivers pathways that are evidence based • delivers safe services • provides timely and appropriate services • minimises clinical risk • flexible and well-placed to innovate • reduces variability of quality and safety 	
Sustainable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • retains critical services in Herefordshire • provides environments which support the recruitment/retention of staff • supports clinical staffing requirements • ability to meet current and future demands in activity • ability to respond to future local and national service changes • works beyond the short term 	
Improves health inequalities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • promotes health gain • supports delivery of Investing for Health outcomes • supports shift to preventative care • improves access 	
Acceptable to stakeholders	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • acceptable to clinicians, service users, carers and public • reflects local ethics/values of health and social care • inspires public confidence • promotes the development of social capital, responsive to and enriching local communities 	
Makes best use of local resource (non-financial)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • supports integration of service delivery • provides flexibility to build on existing joint working • makes best use of existing estate • supports clinical and financial alignment 	
Coherent with national and local policy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • supports achievement of key targets • offers user choice • shifts care closer to home • supports Putting People First • supports management of long term conditions 	

Box 1: Options for future service provision in Herefordshire

1. Do nothing (i.e. continue to implement new service models, but retain existing organisational structures)
2. Maintain local hospital services through work with a nearby Foundation Trust (FT):
 - 2a) Integrate organisationally with nearby FT
 - 2b) Develop clinical networks in key specialties to ensure service viability.
3. Build the hospital bed base through acquisition of community hospitals
4. Create a new integrated hospital and community health organisation
5. Create a new integrated hospital, community health and adult social care organisation
6. Create a new integrated hospital, community health, adult social care and general practice organisation
7. Create a new integrated hospital, community health and general practice organisation
8. Integrate community health and adult social care (together with pursuing option 2a/2b for hospital care)
9. Integrate general practice and community health care (together with pursuing option 2a/2b for hospital care)
10. Vertical integration of hospital and community hospital services and horizontal integration of community health and adult social care
11. Integrate general practice, community health and adult social care (together with pursuing option 2a/2b for hospital care)

5.6 Outcome of appraisal

When all the scores from each group were collated, there was significant consensus in the group's preferred options and for options that were not generally supported. While more detail is provided in Appendix 3, the top three preferences expressed by workshop participants (in order of preference) were for:

4. A new integrated hospital, community health and adult social care organisation (Option 5).

5. A new integrated hospital, community health, adult social care and general practice organisation (Option 6).
6. Integrating general practice, community health care and social care, whilst also pursuing option 2a/2b for hospital care (Option 11).

In addition to these top three, most groups emphasised that the options presented were not mutually exclusive, and that Option 2b should in any case be pursued alongside other options. Given gaps in current data, it was not yet clear to groups whether this option would be sufficient to safeguard local hospital services in the long-term, or whether it would need to be supplemented by other approaches – however, groups were clear that not pursuing clinical networks was not an option.

Of the preferred three options, there was strong consensus from all groups about the desirability of Option 5, but more mixed views across different groups about Option 6.

In contrast, the least preferred options (lowest score first) were:

1. Do nothing (Option 1), which was explicitly ruled out by all present.
2. Integrate general practice and community health care (together with pursuing option 2a/2b for hospital care) (Option 9).
3. Integrating organisationally with nearby FT (Option 2a).
4. Creating a new integrated hospital, community health and general practice organisation (Option 7).
5. Creating a new integrated hospital and community health organisation (Option 4).
6. Building the bed base through acquisition of community hospitals (Option 3).

In making these distinctions, groups identified a number of key themes that had helped to guide their thinking:

- There was strong consensus that doing nothing was not a credible option, and clear recognition that existing organisational structures were not necessarily the best way of delivering better outcomes for patients and service users.
- Participants were clear that any future option had to involve social care (and therefore tended to reject options that focused solely on health care).
- Participants stressed the need for a whole systems approach (and therefore tended to mark down or reject any option that seemed to be

focusing on one part of the system in isolation or failing to tackle perceived inter-organisational barriers in the current system).

- Debates about organisational structures should not prevent ongoing and detailed work with regards to new service models (which should be agreed and implemented irrespective of future decisions about current organisations).

In addition to this, groups also reiterated a point made above (section 5.2) that mental health and children's services are key elements of the work of many local health and social care organisations, and that these should not be neglected. Similarly, there was a strong statement of the need to include greater and more meaningful involvement from the third sector in future organisational discussions.

The Steering Group at its meeting on the 17th September agreed that the highest ranking options listed above (5, 6 and 11) should go forward to the shortlist and additionally options 8 and 10. Meanwhile Option 2b ("develop clinical networks in key specialties to ensure service viability") was agreed as a "given" that should take place whatever other configuration change took place.

5.7 Additional issues

In addition to discussion of preferred organisational models, groups raised a series of broader issues about any future process of change, including:

- While some options have the *potential* to bring more benefits than the current structure, these are not guaranteed – how changes are implemented and how staff are supported/engaged will be crucial.
- Whatever approach is adopted, strong commissioning will be crucial to specify the outcomes that local services should be seeking to deliver.
- If a decision is taken to develop a more integrated organisational structure, then it will be important to develop some sort of locality approach which enables appropriate decisions to be devolved to local level (i.e. to prevent the dangers of creating a structure that is too large, unwieldy and impersonal).
- A key dilemma is how best to balance prevention/well-being with services focused on treating ill health. Whether or not this balance is best struck by integrating both functions into a single organisation or by preserving a separate focus on each through separate structures remains a key issue for exploration. This also links to the point above about the importance of strong commissioning.
- Much of the workshop was focused on trying to find ways of continuing to provide services locally that are both clinically and financially viable (in the

short- and the long-term). While these are technically separate issues, they are also very closely linked.

- In considering the potential for closer relationships with external organisations (for example, a nearby FT as highlighted in options 2a/2b), it will be important to consider the potential motives and likely actions of such an external partner, both now and in the future.

5.8 Organisational integration - lessons from the partnership literature

Integrated organisations

The creation of a new integrated organisation emerging from HHT and PCT provider services would set a precedent for provision but not dissimilar to the current Isle of Wight model that has integrated hospital and community services within the existing PCT.

The organisational entity for this would need to be carefully explored within current options available and is not without its complexity. In theory, the organisation could be established as a new hospital or community FT, but the route for getting to this point could be extremely complex involving disbanding HHT, creating a new NHS Trust and moreover the legislation for community FTs is not yet in place. At the time of writing the report the legal option for the new organisation to be some form of social enterprise is not clear. Whilst not appearing acceptable to some in Herefordshire, there is of course the option for an integrated organisation to be created from either HHT or the PCT and to include adult social care. A number of implications would need to be considered with regard to developing a new integrated organisation:

- Clarity as to what specific outcomes are sought by the change
- The desired governance arrangements (e.g. GP representation) and flexibility to achieve these within the available organisational options
- Range of services to be included
- Impact on wider stakeholders and levels of influence
- Impact on the workforce and existing team configurations
- Commissioning mechanisms and levers to ensure quality and cost effectiveness prevails
- Transitional arrangements from current organisations for staff
- Overall cost of transition

What the evidence tells us

Despite significant local enthusiasm for integration as a potential tool to tackle the difficulties of providing rural health and social care, there are a series of lessons from the broader partnership literature that may be helpful when considering the next steps. In particular, the available evidence (from both public and private sectors) cautions against an over-reliance on structural solutions – while structural change can sometimes be part of the way forward,

it should be embarked upon with care and sensitivity. As a very brief overview, there are four key issues:

1. A helpful contribution is made by Walter Leutz (1999), whose review of the evidence in the US and the UK has led to the development of 'five laws of integration' (see Box 2). Amongst other things, these warn against too much integration all at once and against expecting results too quickly.
2. This is supported by broader research into the impact of mergers and acquisitions (see, for example, Field and Peck, 2003; Fulop *et al.*, 2002, 2005; Peck and Freeman, 2005; Peck *et al.*, 2002; Social Services Inspectorate/Audit Commission, 2004), which suggests that structural change alone rarely achieves stated objectives, that it can often be a distraction for staff and managers, that it can give a false impression of change, that it often does not save money, that it can reduce morale and productivity, that it can stall positive service development, and that (in the NHS at least) it often takes place for reasons other than those stated in formal consultations (for example, responding to local/national politics and/or removing management teams that are perceived to be failing).
3. In addition to structures, it is important to pay significant and ongoing attention to issues of organisational and professional culture (see, for example, Dickinson *et al.*, 2006; Peck and Crawford, 2004).
4. Partnership working and integration can often become an end in themselves, rather than a means to an end (of better services and better outcomes for people who use them - see Glasby and Dickinson, 2008 for a more general overview).

This is not necessarily to rule out structural change, but it does suggest that:

- Local partners need to be clear about the outcomes they are seeking to achieve.
- They need to be clear about why a partnership (and why this form of partnership) is the best way to achieve these outcomes.
- They need to be prepared for the significant and long-term negatives impacts which can arise from structural change.
- They need to be clear that the outcomes at stake are worth this upheaval.

Where health and social care communities can respond positively to these challenges, then structural change may be an appropriate part of the way forward (alongside additional work on organisational and service development). However, many of these issues can be summarised in a simple challenge that all would-be partners would be well advised to address if their inter-agency relationships are to remain as a means to the end of better services and better outcomes: *if integration is the answer, what is the question?*

Box 2: Five 'laws of integration' (Leutz, 1999)

1. You can integrate some of the services for all of the people, or all of the services for some of the people, but you can't integrate all the services for all the people.
2. Integration costs before it pays.
3. Your integration is my fragmentation.
4. You can't integrate a square peg and a round hole.
5. The one who integrates calls the tune.

6. Conclusions and Recommendations

When this review was first commissioned and the process to deliver it discussed with the Steering Group, the scale of the task ahead in terms of developing a well articulated and a reasonably quantified strategic model of care with associated care pathways may not have been fully appreciated by many. While it was suggested that there was already a degree of clarity of direction around each of the Darzi workstreams, in practice while some strong pockets of discreet activity were underway, much had still to be initiated.

The first challenge for the groups was to assess the applicability of the West Midlands Darzi models to Herefordshire, and to commence the task not only of formulating a local vision but of providing the detail - in terms of resource requirements and activity shifts - that would enable the new model to be costed. The SHA-driven timescale to complete the review in six months was extremely tight which brought its own challenges for involving health and social care professionals. It required work on the care models to be given the highest priority by all organisations in terms of time and senior leadership.

The review has not progressed to an agreed preferred organisational option within the given timescale. This is mainly due to it coinciding with work required to meet the World Class Commissioning agenda creating competing priorities for senior management time, the appointment of two key senior staff during the review timescale and recognition that there was catching up to do in establishing the required strategic planning structures and processes that can deliver work of this nature effectively.

Engagement

Excellent engagement of some key stakeholders, including clinicians, has been achieved through the working groups. Lack of staff capacity due to a prioritisation of performance issues within the Local Authority meant their involvement has been very limited in the working groups and this leaves a significant gap in the models developed to date. However, the review has

demonstrated a real desire amongst the clinical and social care community to work together to achieve better outcomes for patients through improved co-ordination of care, greater integration and service efficiency. It is paramount that this is fostered and developed within a clear joint agency commissioning approach in which all players understand their roles.

The review of membership, and commitment to provide further support to the groups, which was agreed by the Steering Group as HSMC's input was concluding, is to be welcomed. Furthermore, more comprehensive engagement of the third sector and user and carer representatives will help ensure that models are appropriate and delivering the type of care that is wanted by potential consumers. A message from many stakeholders was "*we've been here before, and then nothing has been taken forward*": this time a *carpe diem* approach is strongly recommended with this work being translated into commissioning plans.

Case for organisational change

The strategic care planning process has not been completed and therefore it would be premature at this stage to conclude a case for organisational change. From work achieved to date, there is nothing to suggest that the desired service outcomes expressed by the working groups could not be achieved through the development of integrated care pathways and clinically integrated systems. As stated above, we know that structural solutions rarely deliver the outcomes intended and the cost and other effects of major structural change need to be weighed very carefully against the expected long term benefit to the Herefordshire population. There is no appetite within the clinical community for major organisational change without a clear rationale and so to gain their co-operation and involvement the case for change needs to be very clear.

However, it can be argued that Herefordshire has other drivers which suggest the development of a new integrated organisation is worth exploring in more depth once care models are agreed and the impact of those on each organisation is understood:

- the local geography which requires sustaining essential hospital services
- the long term financial viability of a separate hospital Trust for the size of Herefordshire
- the long term viability of the PCT provider services within the current policy context to separate commissioning and provision
- the policy requirement for all NHS Trusts to become Foundation Trusts and the challenges this creates for a small DGH

- the historical culture within Herefordshire, expressed by many, of organisations operating as silos in service development and planning
- the outcome of the initial option appraisal to pursue an integrated organisational model

These factors should be considered alongside the economic and activity modelling when the pathways are fully established. Whilst each by themselves may not constitute a robust case for change, viewed as a whole picture the case for change may be stronger. It is important to remember however the lessons learnt from other major reconfigurations. There may be a temptation to shortcut the process of developing agreed care pathways, clear commissioning plans and ensuring clinical ownership of change across the whole clinical community and rely on a structural solution. However without achieving this clarity and consensus and having a robust rationale for change it will be much more challenging to deliver the desired improvements in health and social care to the population.

Recommendations

Our work supporting the review leads us to the following recommendations for ensuring that an appropriate way forward is found that will best meet the health and social care needs of Herefordshire people:

- To further strengthen and practically support the working groups to produce the care models at a level of detail that can ensure understanding of the whole system impacts and be costed to inform a case for change
- To appoint a project manager with the appropriate skill set and authority to lead the ongoing work of the working groups
- To establish a clear approach to commissioning that takes account of both the working groups and the newly-established clinical forum, and sustains and builds on the wider stakeholder engagement achieved through this project. A well-defined strategic planning structure informing commissioning will underpin not only the conclusions of the provider review but also the joint working/strategy development that needs to gather momentum
- To ensure that appropriate attention is given to the complex areas of mental health and children's services (not least child and adolescent mental health which is at the intersection of these two fields but can fall between them). There are existing integration issues to pursue in both these areas and the relationship of these to the aspiration for greater integration for other services need to be fully understood. A high level of commissioning leadership and support is likely to be needed to balance these different agendas.

- To reflect as a Steering Group upon the potential for integration to support the strategic model, seeking clarity as to the areas of care where integration has most to offer to Herefordshire people, and taking into account the pitfalls highlighted in the literature as set out above.
- To ensure greater involvement of wider stakeholders including the voluntary sector within the service planning process.
- Once the implications of the strategic model of care are clear the short-listed options described above should be developed in greater detail so that they can be more comprehensively assessed and subjected to the types of test set out in section 5.7 above alongside an objective financial assessment.
- To explore the potential of an integrated urgent care model for Herefordshire as a Department of Health pilot site
- If a case for change for organisational reconfiguration is agreed then work needs to be undertaken to identify the most appropriate organisational and governance model.

7. References

Dickinson, H., Peck, E. and Smith, J. (2006) *Leadership in organisational transition – what can we learn from research evidence? Summary report*, Birmingham: Health Services Management Centre.

Field, J. and Peck, E. (2003) 'Mergers and acquisitions in the private sector: what are the lessons for health and social care?', *Social Policy and Administration*, vol 37, no 7, pp 742-755.

Fulop, N., Protopsaltis, G., Hutchings, A., King, A., Allen, P., Normand, C. and Walters, R. (2002) 'Process and impact of mergers of NHS trust: multicentre case study and management cost analysis', *British Medical Journal*, vol 325, pp 246-252.

Fulop, N., Protopsaltis, G., King, A., Allen, P., Hutchings, A. and Normand, C. (2005) 'Changing organisations: a study of the context and processes of mergers of health care providers in England', *Social Science and Medicine*, vol 60, no 1, pp 119-130.

Glasby, J. and Dickinson, H. (2008) *Partnership working in health and social care*, Bristol: Policy Press.

Hudson B (2005) *The Durham Adult Community Care Enhancement Strategy for Sedgefield: Final report*. HMSO

Hudson B (2006) Integrated Team Working: You can get it if you really want it Part 1. *Journal of Integrated Care*, 14:1 p,14 - 23

Leutz, W. (1999) 'Five laws for integrating medical and social services: lessons from the United States and the United Kingdom', *Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly*, vol 77, pp 77-110.

Peck, E. and Crawford, A. (2004) '*Culture*' in partnerships - what do we mean by it and what can we do about it?, Leeds: Integrated Care Network.

Peck, E., Gulliver, P. and Towell, D. (2002) *Modernising partnerships: Evaluation of Somerset's innovations in the commissioning and organisation of mental health services – final report*, London: Institute for Applied Health and Social Policy, King's College London.

Peck, E. and Freeman, T. (2005) *Reconfiguring PCTs: Influences and options* (briefing paper prepared for the NHS Alliance), Birmingham: Health Services Management Centre.

Social Services Inspectorate/Audit Commission (2004) *Old virtues, new virtues: An overview of the changes in social care services over the seven years of Joint reviews in England, 1996-2003*, London: SSI/Audit Commission.

APPENDIX 1

STEERING GROUP MEMBERSHIP

Akeem Ali	Director of Public Health	PCT
Andrew Watts	GP & PbC Chair	HHT
Budd Alison	Medical Director	PCT & Council
Chris Bull	Chief Executive	Council
Eleanor Brazil	Interim Director Adult Social Care	HSMC, University of Birmingham
Helen Parker	Co-Director,	HHT
Ian Tait	PaCE Chair	PCT & Council (from August)
Ian Williams	Director, Integrated Commissioning	PCT
Jane Rogers	Non-Executive Director	PCT
Joanna Newton	Chairman	Council
Kathy O'Mahony*	Head of Community Operations	HSMC, University of Birmingham
Margaret McArthur	Senior Associate	HHT
Mark Curtis	Chairman	PCT
Paul Edwards	Director of Commissioning & Strategy	Council
Rob Ewing	Business Change Manager	Council
Sharon Menghini	Director of Children's Services	PCT
Sue Doheny	Managing Director Provider Services	HHT
Martin Woodford	Chief Executive	

* replacing Margaret Dennison from September

Herefordshire Provider review

**Short report from a HHT and General Practice workshop
21st August 2008**

1. Introduction

Herefordshire Primary Care Trust (PCT), Hereford Hospitals NHS Trust (HHT) and Herefordshire Council commissioned the Health Services Management Centre (HSMC) to work with them in a strategic review that will *'ensure that provider services are fit for purpose and organised in sustainable configurations which are able to both drive service improvement and deliver real efficiency'*

A key element of this work has been service modelling by local working groups to develop integrated care pathways based on the regional Darzi outputs. The review recognises the importance of ensuring that any new agreed models of care uses medical expertise efficiently and in a manner that provides best care for patients. In recognition there was no formal mechanism for senior clinical engagement across primary and secondary care in the review process a workshop for Consultants and GPs was held to identify areas of consensus and provide opportunity for their contribution and influence in further development of the pathways.

It also provided opportunity for Consultants and GPs to have time out from clinical caseloads to discuss current working arrangements and agree new ways of working between them where appropriate.

The workshop also had a presentation from Dr Ali in the future demographic changes within the county and the potential impact of these on future service delivery.

1.1 Workshop attendees

Helen Parker,	HSMC and facilitator
Tessa Crilly,	Crystal Blue Consulting and member of review team
Dr Akeem Ali,	Herefordshire Primary Care Trust

HHT

Michael Hall
David Mowbray
Simon Meyrick
Alison Budd
Peter Wilson
Victoria Alner
Rupert Ransford
Clare Cheek

General Practice

Marian Davis
Martin Crook
Kevin Ilsley
Crispin Fisher
Nigel Frazer
Andrew Watts
Andrew Black

2. Discussion Summary

The workshop covered a number of areas significant for the review. (Others areas of partnership working were discussed but not included in this report.)

2.1. Community Hospitals

Community Hospitals, as well as HCH, are seen as potential areas for improvement in overall efficiency as a resource within the whole health and social care system and in the quality of patient of care. It was acknowledged that the delayed discharges for some patients were largely a result of social care resource constraints (staff, funding and available care home beds) that prevented discharge back home or to residential care⁶. However, this is resulting in blocks in other parts of the system, most importantly in HHT's ability to transfer people back to a community setting in a timely manner. Discharges may also be delayed if a patient is self-funding or has PCT funding agreed due to indecision by the patients or families to identify a suitable care home place. The impact of this is a significant inefficient use of HCH beds that prevents, for example, increasing productivity in elective care.

It was agreed that the hospitals needed to be redefined in their remit to support integrated care pathways and have clear criteria for in-patient activity. There was a consensus that the key roles of the hospitals should be as follows:

- 24/7 short term step up in-patient care when acute hospital care is unnecessary
- 24/7 step down rehabilitation to ensure timely transfer from HHT

Consultants felt it important that access to community hospital beds should be on 7 days per week. There was consensus that *integrated community health and social care teams* (MDTs) were crucial for ensuring patients requiring complex care arrangements were ideally not admitted unless medically required but if admitted were transferred through the HCH and community hospitals appropriately. It is suggested that an important role of these teams would be to retain case management responsibility and track patients through HHT, with responsibility for discharge planning in liaison with ward staff. Care for these patients should be supported by outcome-based care plans to facilitate transfer back home, or to longer term care, when appropriate.

There was a general consensus that these teams should be developed on a locality basis with additional resource for Hereford City in recognition that it has no community beds.

- Outpatients where there is a critical mass.

⁶ A delayed discharge was defined as a patient who had been an inpatient at a community hospital for 21 days or more, who had no on-going re-ablement goals as identified by the multidisciplinary team or medical conditions requiring that admission

It was agreed that there is still much work to do as part of the ongoing strategic planning process in mapping current and future activity to inform exactly what outpatient activity will be provided in community hospitals. It was agreed that this work needed to be undertaken as part of the practice-based commissioning development and within the framework of an established strategic planning structure (see below).

- Day case treatment.
- Urgent Care.

Again there was a consensus that this still needed much further work before any decisions could be made about the care provided in each community hospital and therefore the workforce or resources required.

- Maternity as per the working group report.
- Palliative Care as per the End of Life working group report
- Diagnostics (X-ray and Ultrasound)

There was consensus (and concern) that very little work had been done so far to identify the diagnostic support required for the new pathways. Key people had not been involved and highlighted the need for a more robust approach to strategic planning in the County. It was agreed that the diagnostic support to community activity is crucial in ensuring clinical viability and until this work had been done no care model can be deemed agreed or signed off.

- Specialised Stroke rehabilitation

It was agreed that stroke care currently provided in community hospitals needed improving and that this may be done by creating a specialist unit in one hospital.

2.2 Urgent Care/A&E

A long discussion was given to the future of A&E services in Herefordshire and the future management of primary care activity that is currently provided there. There was a very strong consensus that it was crucial to ensure that A&E services remain on the hospital site even if this meant paying above the national tariff. This is due to the local geography and needs of the population but also on the impact that losing the Department would have on the viability of other services within the hospital.

There was a consensus that an integrated model of care between primary, secondary and social care was potentially the ideal model for Herefordshire in line with the existing 'emergency room project'.

This requires high quality front door triage, directing patients to appropriate primary or secondary care facilities. A&E should be a dedicated trauma and emergencies unit. Creation of a Clinical Decisions Unit with rapid access to diagnostics would reduce the need for unnecessary admissions. Access to an integrated primary health & social care team would be essential as one option for the triage team.

It was agreed that the team would be able to 'fast track' patients to clinics as part of primary and secondary care pathways from the Department.

A high quality Out of Hours Service was agreed to be crucial to the overall urgent care and A&E service. The links to this service need to be clear within agreed pathways to ensure it does not lead to unnecessary admissions or A&E attendances. Clinicians were agreed that future contracting for this service should include quality of care indicators and not contracted on cost alone. It was agreed that the ShropDoc model should be explored as a potential model for Herefordshire.

2.3 Repatriation of care

Clinicians were surprised at how much care was provided out of county and that this totalled approximately £50 million or 21% of the total budget.

It was agreed that this was a cause for concern and that work needed to be done to map how much activity could safely be repatriated into the county.

2.4 Financial Incentives

It was acknowledged that the financial incentives operating in the current system of PbR and PBC could hinder clinical improvements, e.g. where transfer from hospital to primary care is costed at average tariff rates of, say £100 per outpatient attendance, there is a disincentive to restructure care pathways, since £100 exceeds the direct (marginal) cost of treatment. There was a willingness to maintain clinical dialogue to explore ways of overcoming some of these obstacles.

Conclusion

The workshop was extremely productive and has resulted in a number of recommendations for the review and for ongoing strategic planning. These are summarised as:

1. The integration of health and social care needs to be more strongly developed to support the new care pathways. In particular this is crucial to the effective management of patients in community hospitals and in A&E.

The development of locality based multi-disciplinary teams should be pursued.

2. Based on work so far, it would seem that most improvements required in clinical services will be solved by clinical redesign of pathways and social care contribution rather than a reconfiguration of the organisational structures.
3. There needs to a review of diagnostic services across the county informed by the outputs form the working groups. This is crucial to informing any potential reconfiguration of providers
4. Sustaining A&E services in Hereford is crucial and an integrated model of care should be developed to support this
5. A robust strategic planning structure needs to be established to ensure stronger clinical engagement and that the most appropriate people are involved in the individual working groups.

More work is required in a number of areas before there is sufficient ownership and agreement of future care pathways and therefore the ability to undertake robust financial modelling.

6. There needs to be opportunity for an ongoing forum for Consultants and GPs as part of that structure

Helen Parker

On behalf of the Consultants and GPs in attendance

September 2008.

Appendix 3: Scores for each potential provider configuration option against the criteria groups

TOTAL	1	2a	2b	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	Total
Promotes quality	7	9	11	8	8	13	10	7	8	7	9	11	108
Sustainable	5	8	12	10	10	14	11	9	8	6	9	9	111
Improves health inequalities	6	6	8	7	8	12	12	7	11	9	11	11	108
Acceptable to stakeholders	9	6	10	8	7	14	9	7	9	6	8	8	101
Makes best use of local resource (non-financial)	6	6	9	9	9	14	12	8	10	7	10	11	111
Coherent with national and local policy	6	8	10	9	7	10	8	7	12	7	11	11	106
Total	39	43	60	51	49	77	62	45	58	42	58	61	645

Overall Rank	1	3	9	6	5	12	11	4	7	2	7	10
--------------	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	---	---	---	---	----

Individual table rankings per option

Rank Group 1	2	1	5	3	8	12	8	5	8	3	8	5
Rank Group 2	1	1	9	1	1	12	1	1	1	1	10	11
Rank Group 3	1	5	8	6	1	9	12	1	9	1	7	11
Rank Group 4	1	7	6	11	7	12	3	3	7	1	7	3
Rank Group 5	1	4	10	8	4	10	10	3	9	1	4	4

TIMELINE FOR PROVIDER SERVICES REVIEW

Appendix 2

Elements within the time line	Nov 08	Dec 08	Jan 09	Feb 09	Mar 09	Apr 09	May 09	June 09
Clinical leadership forum meetings	◇ 27 Nov		◇		◇		◇	
Clinical pathway groups <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review membership Confirm Patient/ user engagement Sign off meetings to refine pathway specifications Confirm service planning mechanism for Children's, Mental Health, Dementia and Learning Disability services 	◇ ◇	◇ ◇	◇	◇				
Modelling of impact <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agree activity model Apply model to pathway scenarios. Agree costing methodology Apply costing methodology to pathway scenarios 		◇ ◇	◇ ◇					
Implementation of agreed care pathways <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identification of workforce implications Identify and resolve areas where tariff funding does not support service Agree prioritised implementation plan for each pathway Reflect new pathways in 2009/10 contracting arrangements Identify ongoing areas for review by clinical pathway groups 		◇	◇	◇	◇ ◇ ◇	◇ ◇ ◇	◇ ◇ ◇	

Elements within the time line	Nov 08	Dec 08	Jan 09	Feb 09	Mar 09	Apr 09	May 09	June 09
<p>Identify implications for organisational form in Herefordshire</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appraise organisational options to secure delivery of agreed models of care (including Mental Health services) PCT, Council and HHT Board consideration of options Public consultation on organisational form commences (if necessary) 						◇	◇	◇
<p>Supporting SHA Reviews</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PCT Provider Services PCT Commissioning function (World Class Commissioning Assurance) 		◇	◇	◇				
<p>Hereford Hospitals Trust Foundation Trust application</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> SHA “gap analysis” of FT case Preparation of business plan and long term financial model Public consultation commences (if necessary) followed by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> SHA review of plans (4 months) Historical due diligence (1 month) Department of Health consideration (1 month) Monitor Assessment (3 months) Approval – June 2010 	◇	◇			◇	◇	◇	◇

WORK PROGRAMME

Report By: Assistant Chief Executive – Legal and Democratic

Wards Affected

County-wide

Purpose

- 1 To consider the Committee's work programme.

Financial Implications

- 2 None

Background

- 3 A report on the Committee's current work programme will be made to each of the scheduled quarterly meetings of this Scrutiny Committee. A copy of the work programme is appended.
- 4 The programme may be modified by the Chairman following consultation with the Vice-Chairman in response to changing circumstances.
- 5 Should any urgent, prominent or high profile issue arise, the Chairman may consider calling an additional meeting to consider that issue.
- 6 Should Members become aware of any issues they consider may be added to the scrutiny programme they should contact the Directorate Services Officer (Health) to log the issue so that it may be taken into consideration when planning future agendas or when revising the work programme.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT subject to any comment or issues raised by the Committee the Committee work programme be approved and reported to the Strategic Monitoring Committee.

BACKGROUND PAPERS

- None identified.

Health Scrutiny Committee Work Programme 2008/09

	January 2009
Scrutiny Reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ambulance Service Review
	March 2009
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Updates by Chief Executives of Health Trusts • Monitoring of LINK Performance (& Appointment of observers on the Committee) • ICT – linkages between Health and Social Care (from September 2008)
	To be scheduled
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provision of services for children with special needs (from April 2008) • Stroke Services – progress report (from June 2008) • Sexual Health – National Support Team Report (from June 2008) • Walk in Health Centre Progress (from September 2008) • Audiology Services – outcome of external review (from June 2008) • Intermediate Care – monitoring of progress (from June 2008) • Director of Public Health Annual Report 2008 (if any specific issues identified for consideration following council-wide seminar) • Oral Health/Fluoridation (from September 2008) • Sexual Health • Workforce plan including training, recruitment and retention issues for the Primary Care Trust, social care and provider organisations in the independent sector (in response to report on the development of high-performing health and social care services by 2012 to meet the expected future needs of 18-64 year-olds in Herefordshire with mental health problems and physical disabilities.) (from March 2008)
Scrutiny Reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scoping of work on access to Healthcare in the South Wye – To consider appropriate health care in the South Wye Area in view of the fact of the lower health outcomes for this area and the expanding population. • Access to health 1) for ethnic minorities – Scoping Statement • Access to Health 2) Scoping Statement
Other issues	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal to look at the long-term implications for people in the county of having an inappropriate diet.

Further additions to the work programme will be made as required